Original Research Article

DIVERSITY, SEASONAL DYNAMICS AND HOST PLANTS OF BUTTERFLIES IN FOREST RESEARCH CENTRE, SIDDIPET, TELANGANA, INDIA

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aims at bringing out butterfly diversity through species richness, seasonal distribution and suitable host plant preferences of identified butterflies in Forest Research Centre, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

Study Design: Line Transect Method is followed.

Place and duration of the study: The study was conducted in Forest Research Centre (FRC), Siddipet, Telangana, India during 2021-2022.

Methodology: Five line transects are laid across the research centre which are surveyed both in morning and afternoon at 9-11AM and 4-6PM respectively across the season viz., rainy, winter and summer seasons.

Results: The study revealed a species richness of butterflies as 53 species belonging to 39 genera across five families namely, Lycaenidae with 10 species, Hespariidae with 2 species, Nymphalidae with 23 species, Papilionoidae with 6 species and Pieridae with 12 species. The host plant preferences are attributed to families Fabaceae (23%), Malvaceae (16%), Capparaceae (12%), Acanthaceae (11%), and Poaceae (8%). Other families Apocynaceae, Aristolochiaceae, Rhamnaceae and Rutaceae also attributed to be the host plants of few butterfly species. Across the season the distribution of butterfly species reported was higher in winter followed by rainy and summer seasons. The study also revealed a similarity in terms of distribution and occurrence in rainy and winter season with significant difference when compared to summer season.

Conclusion: From the study, it can be concluded that FRC reported a diverse range butterflies distributed across all the seasons making it potential site for preservation and conservation of butterflies and its host species.

Key words:Line transect survey, Abundance, Host plants, Forest Research Centre, Telangana, India

1. INTRODUCTION

The largest category within the Arthropoda phylum is Insecta, encompassing insects, with butterflies being a particularly significant group within this category. Butterflies play a crucial ecological role, serving as valuable indicators of terrestrial ecosystem health[1]. They belong to the broader clade of macro lepidopterans known as Rhopalocera, which also includes moths within the Lepidoptera order. Butterflies, a subset of moths (Lepidoptera), offer promising opportunities for research in population and community ecology [2]. They often take centre stage in biodiversity monitoring efforts and are regarded as umbrella species in conservation [3].Moreover, butterflies, due to their sensitivity to environmental changes, respond rapidly to alterations in factors like land use dynamics and vegetation shifts, which can impact their abundance and species composition [4,5]. Beyond this,

butterfly diversity reflects seasonal and natural variations, making them excellent candidates for monitoring ecosystem health and diversity[6,7,8]. Therefore, regular assessments of butterfly populations can provide insights into the scope of environmental changes [9,10]. Furthermore, exploring local butterfly populations, their diversity, and seasonal patterns is essential for understanding the ecology of butterfly communities in specific regions. It also helps establish baseline data regarding this umbrella taxon within ecologically sensitive areas affected by climate change, habitat degradation/loss, and conservation efforts [11]. Studies on butterflies are imperative for enhancing their ecological utility as indicator species. There is a unique relationship between butterflies and plants [12], with butterfly diversity indirectly reflecting the overall plant diversity, especially of herbs and shrubs, in an area [13].

Butterfly studies have been conducted systematically since the early 18th century, and by 1998, approximately 19,238 butterfly species were documented worldwide [14]. India boasts a rich butterfly fauna, with a total of 1,504 species, accounting for 8.74% of the world's butterfly diversity, including 285 species found in southern India [15]. Peninsular India and the Western Ghats region are especially diverse, harbouring 351 and 334 butterfly species, respectively. Although India boasts over 1,300 butterfly species, most of them, more than 1,000 species, are concentrated in the northeastern region [16]. Telangana state, for instance, is home to 165 butterfly species across 102 genera and 6 families [17].Hence, the present study aims to contribute to our understanding of butterfly species diversity, seasonal patterns, and host specificity within the Forest Research Centre. Additionally, it seeks to assess the state of conservation efforts in the current environmental context.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study area

Telangana boasts a rich and diverse biodiversity, characterized by a wide variety of ecosystems[18]. On June 2, 2014, Telangana officially became the 29th state of India, covering an expansive area of 112,077 square kilometers. It shares its borders with Maharashtra and Chhattisgarh to the north, Karnataka to the west, and Andhra Pradesh to the south. Notably, the state encompasses a total forested area of 26,904 square kilometers, with a forest cover of 7,13,789 square kilometers, accounting for approximately 21.71 percent of the country's total geographical area[19]. This region comprises twelve protected areas, including seven wildlife sanctuaries, three national parks, and two tiger reserves, all of which contribute to its rich tapestry of vegetation and wildlife. Telangana has documented an impressive tally of 2,450 faunal species to date (Chandra et al., 2021) [20]. The present study was conducted at Forest Research Centre (FRC) which is situated within the state, precisely at coordinates 17°42′56″ N and 17°43′15″ N latitude, and 78°37′26″ E and 78°38′28″ E longitude, in Mulugu Mandal, Siddipet District, Telangana State, India. This sprawling centre spans 359.234 acres (1,453,777 square kilometers) and is located approximately 50 kilometers from Hyderabad. It is equipped with 141 research and development plots to facilitate scientific endeavors in the realm of forestry and natural resource management.

2.2 Transect line method

In this approach, we chose to investigate five transect lines within the designated campus area. Our research involved walking along these lines during the time periods of 9-11 am and 4-6 pm, carefully observing the presence of various butterfly species within the campus. We documented the species that we encountered during these walks. To facilitate the collection and interpretation of data, we divided the study year into three distinct seasons, namely (i) Rainy, (ii) Winter, and (iii) Summer [6]. This study took place between the years 2021 and 2022.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Diversity of butterflies among families

Butterflies can serve as valuable indicators of biodiversity trends, with transect counts being a widely employed method for their assessment [21].Understanding the seasonal movements of butterflies is crucial for comprehending their ecological processes [22].

In the current study, it was identified that the Forest Research Centre (FRC) recorded a total of 53 butterfly species from 5 families, all of which were meticulously photographed and documented. Among the various families observed, Nymphalidae exhibited the highest diversity, with a total of 23 species, followed by Pieridae (12 species), Papilionidae (6 species), Lycaenidae (10 species), and Hesperiidae with the fewest number of species (2 species). Within these families, 6 species were classified under Schedules I, II, and IV of the Indian Wildlife Protection Act (1972), including Common pierrot, Gram blue, Common crow, Danaid eggfly, Common gull, and crimson rose.

Table 1 presents the distribution of genera among the five families, with Nymphalidae contributing to 14 (35.9%) genera, Lycaenidae to 10 (25.6%) genera, Pieridae to 10 (25.6%) genera, Papilionidae to

3 (7.7%) genera, and Hesperiidae to 2 (5.1%) genera. Collectively, these genera from the families Nymphalidae, Lycaenidae, Pieridae, Papilionidae, and Hesperiidae accounted for 23 (43.4%), 10 (18.9%), 12 (22.6%), 6 (11.3%), and 2 (3.8%) of the recorded species, respectively. Each family recorded varying numbers of individuals from different species, with Hesperiidae, Papilionidae, Lycaenidae, Pieridae, and Nymphalidae having 9, 124, 236, 406, and 990 individuals, respectively. These results align with patterns observed in both the northern and southern regions of the Western Ghats and other parts of India [23,24,25,26].

Findings from [27] showed that out of the 20 species recorded, Nymphalidae was the most prevalent family, followed by Pieridae (5 species), Papilionidae (4 species), and Lycaenidae (2 species). Sailu et al. [28] reported 41 butterfly species, with Nymphalidae dominating, followed by Pieridae, Lycaenidae, Papilionidae, and Hesperiidae. [29] also found Nymphalidae to be the most dominant family in line with our studies. A similar trend is evident in our findings, with the highest species abundance recorded during the spring months of February and March. However, a decline in species abundance was observed from the early winter months of October and November, with Nymphalidae being the dominant family during this period [30].

Family	Genus	Percentage (%)	No of species	Percentage (%)	No of individuals	Percentage (%)
Lycaenidae	10	25.6	10	18.9	236	13.4
Hespariidae	2	5.1	2	3.8	9	0.5
Nymphalidae	14	35.9	23	43.4	990	56.1
Papilionoidae	3	7.7	6	11.3	124	7.0
Pieridae	10	25.6	12	22.6	406	23.0
Total	39	100	53	100	1765	100

Table 1. Total number, percentage of genus, species and individuals collected per family

3.2 Host specificity of butterflies Table 2. List of butterflies observed in FRC campus

S. No.	Common Name Host plants		WPA Status	
		Lycaenidae		
1	Common pierrot	Ziziphus jujuba, Ziziphus oenopolia, Ziziphus xylopyrus	SCHEDULE- I(PART-IV)	
2	Common silverline	Carissa carandas, Cadaba fruticosa, Diospyros melanoxylon, Cassia fistula, Ziziphus jujuba.		
3	Dark grass blue	Amaranthus spinosus, Amaranthus, Geissaspis cristata, Zornia diphylla, Oxalis corniculate.		
4	Forget-me-not	Acacia nilotica, Acacia chundra, Butea monosperma, Desmodium oojeinense, Pongamia pinnata, Tephrosia purpurea.		
5	Gram blue	Acacia nilotica, Butea monosperma, Cajanus cajan, Desmodium oojeinense.	SCHEDULE- I(PART-II)	
6	Indian common cerulin	Abrus precatorius, Butea monosperma, Pongamia pinnata, Saraca asoca, Xylia xylocarpa.		
7	Plains Cupid	Cycas circinalis, Acacia nilotica, Bauhinia vahlii, Bauhinia variegata, Butea monosperma, Saraca asoca, Schleichera oleosa, Desmodium oogenesis.		
8	Red Pierrot	Bryophyllum pinnatum		
9	Tiny grass blue	Dipteracanthus prostratus, Hygrophila auriculata, Vicia spp, Lantana camara, Tribulus terrestris.		
10	Zebra blue	Abrus precatorius, Albizia lebbeck, Dalbergia lanceolaria, Indigofera tinctorea, Mimosa pudica, Mimosa hamata, Plumbago zeylanica		
		Hespariidae		
11	Indian skipper	Hibiscus rosa-sinensis, Sida acuta, Urena Iobata, Waltheria indica.		
12	Variable swift	Brachiaria mutica, Imperata cylindrica, Oryza sativa, Saccharum officinarum.		
		Nymphalidae		
13	Baronet	Mangifera indica, Diospyros melanoxylon, Grewia asiatica.		
14	Black rajah	Dalbergia sissoo, Pithecellobium dulce, Tamarindus indica.		

15	Blue pansy	Barleria prionitis, Hygrophila auriculata, Justicia prostrata, Lepidagathis cristata,	
		Mimosa pudica.	
16	Blue tiger	Holarrhena pubescens, Asclepias curassavica, Calotropis gigantea, Calotropis procera, Dregea volubilis, Tylophora indica.	
17	Chocolate pansy	Barleria cristata, Dipteracanthus prostratus, Hygrophila auriculata, Justicia micrantha	
18	Commander	Cadaba fruticosa, Grewia asiatica, Mitragyna parvifolia, Neolamarckia cadamba.	
19	Common Castor	Ricinus communis	
20	Common crow	Carissa spinarum, Cascabela thevetia, Holarrhena pubescens, Ichnocarpus frutescens, Nerium oleander, Tylophora indica, Ficus benghalensis, Ficus religiosa,	SCHEDULE-IV
21	Common evening brown	Apluda mutica, Eleusine indica, Pennisetum purpureum.	
22	common four ring	Eleusine indica	
23	Common leopard	Gymnosporia emerginata, Flacourtia indica	
24	Common nawab	Acacia catechu, Acacia chundra, Albizia lebbeck, Caesalpinia bonduc, Delonix regia, Pithecellobium dulce, Grewia tilifolia, Grewia asiatica, Helicteres isora.	
25	Common palmfly	Caryota urens, Dypsis lutescens, Cocos nucifera, Phoenix spp.	
26	Common sailor	Bombax ceiba, Xylia xylocarpa, Corchorus capsularis, Grewia tilifolia, Grewia asiatica, Helicteres isora, Triumfetta rhomboidei.	
27	Danaid eggfly	Barleria cristata, Ipomoea carnea, Abelmoschus ficulneus, Abutilon indicum, Abutilon hirtum, Hibiscus Lobatus, Sida cordifolia, Portulaca oleracea.	SCHEDULE-II (PART-II)
28	Dark evening brown	Apluda mutica, Bambusa bambos, Cymbopogon flexuosus, Eragrostis tennela, Panicum maximum, Pennisetum purpureum, Setaria pumilus, Seteria verticillate.	
29	Great eggfly	Alternanthera sessilis, Sida rhombifolia, Portulaca oleracea, Solanum nigrum.	
30	Grey pansy	Barleria prionitis, Hygrophila auriculata.	
21	Lemon pansy	Barleria Prionitis, Hygrophila auriculata, Corchorus capsularis, Sida rhombifolia.	
32	Peacock pansy	Barleria prionitis, Hygrophila auriculata, Oryza sativa, Pennisetum purpureum, Pennisetum glaucum, Phyla nodiflora.	
33	Striped tiger	Cocculus. hirsuta, Holostemma ada-kodien, Marsdenia floribunda, Tylophora flexuosa.	
34	Tawny coster	Passiflora edulis, Passiflora foetida, Vitex pinnata	
35	Yellow pansy	Barleria prionitis, Hygrophila auriculata, Justicia prostrata, Ruellia prostrata, Mimosa pudica.	
		Family: Papilionoidae	
36	Blue Mormon	Citrus maxima, Citrus limon, Glycosmis pentaphylla, Murraya koenigii.	
37	Common lime	Aegle marmelos, Citrus limon, Glycosmis	

		nontonbullo Limonio donbontum Murrovo	
	pentaphylla, Limonia elephantum, Murr koenigii, Ruta graveolens, Chloroxylon		
		swietenia, Ziziphus jujuba.	
38	Common Mormon	Aegle marmelos, Citrus limon, Glycosmis	
		pentaphylla, Murraya koenigii.	
39	Common rose	Aristolochia bracteolata, Aristolochia griffithii,	
		Aristolochia indica, Aristolochia tagala,	
		Thottea siliquosa, Bragantia wallichii.	
40	Plain tiger	Asclepias curassavica, Calotropis gigantea,	
		Calotropis procera, Caralluma adscendens,	
		Cryptolepis dubi, Pergularia daemia.	
41	Tailed jay	Annona squamosa, Miliusa tomentosa,	
		Polyalthia longifolia	
		Pieridae	
	1		
42	Common emigrant	Bauhinia racemosa, Butea monosperma,	
		Cassia fistula, Dalbergia latifolia, Senna tora,	
		Senna siamea, Sesbania grandiflora.	
43	Common gull	Cadaba fruticosa, Capparis sepiaria, Capparis	SCHEDULE-II
		zeylanica, Maerua oblongifolia	(PART-II)
44	Common jezebel	Butea monosperma, Dendrophthoe falcata,	
		Loranthus longiflorus, Helicanthes elasticus,	
		Abelmoschus moschatus, Pterospermum	
		acerifolium.	
45	Crimson rose	Aristolochia bracteolata, Aristolochia griffithii,	SCHEDULE-I
		Aristolochia indica, Aristolochia tagala.	(PART-IV)
46	Crimson tip	Cadaba fruticosa, Maerua oblongifolia,	
		Capparis divaricata, Capparis sepiaria.	
47	Common wanderer	Capparis baducca, Capparis zeylanica.	
48	Indian pioneer`	Capparis baducca, Capparis divaricata,	
		Capparis sepiaria, Capparis spinosa,	
		Capparis zeylanica, Maerua oblongifolia,	
		Cadaba fruticose.	
49	Small grass yellow	Chamaecrista kleinii, Cassia javanica, Cassia	
10	ernan grade yenew	fistula.	
50	Mottled emigrant	Cassia fistula, Cassia javanica, Senna	
		auriculata, Senna tora, Senna occidentali.	
51	Psyche	Capparis baducca, Capparis spinosa,	
		Capparis zeylanica, Cleome viscosa.	
		Capparis decidua, Capparis divaricata,	
		Capparis grandis, Capparis sepiaria, Cadaba	
		fruticosa.	
53	Yellow orange-tip	Capparis divaricata, Capparis sepiaria,	
		Capparis zeylanica.	

Table 2 illustrates the host plant preferences of butterflies, indicating that the majority (23%) of butterflies tend to use plants from the Fabaceae family as hosts, followed by the Malvaceae family (16%), Capparaceae (12%), Acanthaceae (11%), and Poaceae (8%). These findings align with the results of other studies, such as those conducted by [31], which also found that butterflies demonstrate host specificity, primarily favouring plants from the Fabaceae and Poaceae families. Additionally, these investigations revealed that the population size of butterflies is influenced by the number of host plants they utilize.




Fig. 1. Top host plant families

3.3 Seasonal variability of butterflies Table 3. Seasonal abundance (mean) of butterfly species recorded in FRC

S.No.	Scientific Name	Common Name	Observedin months
1	Castalius rosimon (Fabricius, 1775)	Common pierrot	Jan, Oct, Nov
2	Spindasis vulcanus (Fabricius, 1775)	Common silverline	Feb, March, June, July
3	Zizeeria karsandra (Moore, 1865)	dark grass blue	April, Sept
4	Catochrysops strabo (Fabricius, 1793)	forget-me-not	Sept
5	Euchrysops cnejus (Fabricius, 1798)	Gram blue	Jan, April, Sept
6	Jamides celeno (Cramer, [1775])	Indian common cerulin	Sept and oct
7	<i>Chilades pandava</i> (Horsfield, [1829])	Plains Cupid	Except April, may, june
8	<i>Talicada nyseus</i> (Guérin-Méneville, 1843)	Red Pierrot	Jan, Oct, Nov
9	Zizula hylax (Fabricius, 1775)	Tiny grass blue	Dec, Jan, Feb
10	Leptotes plinius (Fabricius, 1793)	Zebra blue	Sept and oct
11	Spialia galba (Fabricius, 1793)	Indian skipper	Sept and oct
12	Pelopidas mathias (Fabricius, 1798)	Variable swift	Except April, may, june
13	Symphaedra nais (Forster, 1771)	Baronet	All months
14	Charaxes solon (Fabricius, 1793)	Black rajah	Sept and oct
15	Junonia orithya	Blue pansy	Dec

	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
16	Tirumala limniace	Blue tiger	All months
	(Cramer, [1775])	_	
17	Junonia iphita	Chocolate pansy	All months
	(Cramer, [1779])		
18	Moduza procris	Commander	Except Apr, Jun
	(Cramer, [1777])		
19	Ariadne merione	Common Castor	All months
	(Cramer, [1777])		
20	Euploea core	Common crow	All months
	(Cramer, [1780])		
21	Melanitis leda	Common evening brown	Except Jan, Mar, Jun, Sep
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
22	Ypthima huebneri	common four ring	Except Apr, Jun
	(Kirby, 1871)		
23	Phalanta phalantha	Common leopard	Except May, Jul, Sep
	(Drury, [1773])		
24	Charaxes bharata	Common nawab	Feb, Jul, Aug, Dec
	C. & R. Felder, [1867]		
25	Elymnias hypermnestra	Common palmfly	Aug, Sept
	(Linnaeus, 1763)		
26	Neptis hylas	Common sailor	Except Apr
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
27	Hypolimnas misippus	Danaid eggfly	All months
	(Linnaeus, 1764)		
28	Melanitis phedima	Dark evening brown	Sept, Nov
	(Cramer, [1780])		-
29	Hypolimnas bolina	Great eggfly	Except Apr, Jun
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
30	Junonia atlites	Grey pansy	Aug, Sept, nov
	(Linnaeus, 1763)		
31	Junonia lemonias	Lemon pansy	Except Mar, Jun, Sep
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
32	Junonia almana	Peacock pansy	July, Oct
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
33	Danaus genutia	Striped tiger	Except Jul, Nov
	(Cramer, [1779])		
34	Acraea terpsicore	Tawny coster	June, Aug, Oct, Dec
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
35	Junonia hierta	Yellow pansy	Jan-Apr, Oct, Dec
	(Fabricius, 1798)		
36	Papilio polymnestor	Blue Mormon	Except Jun, Jul
	(Cramer, 1775)		
37	Papilio demoleus	Common lime	Except Jan, Nov
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
38	Papilio polytes	Common Mormon	All months
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
39	Pachliopta aristolochiae	Common rose	All months
	(Fabricius, 1775)		
40	Danaus chrysippus	Plain tiger	Except Apr, Nov
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
41	Graphium	Tailed jay	Except Jan, Jul, Oct
	agamemnon (Linnaeus, 1758)		
42	Catopsilia pomona	Common emigrant	All months
	(Fabricius, 1775)	-	
43	Cepora Nerissa	Common gull	Except Jan, Jul, Oct
	(Fabricius, 1775)	Ŭ Ŭ	
		Common jezebel	Except March, April August,
44	Delias eucharis	Common jezebei	Ελύσρι Μαισιί, Αριίι Αυγυδί.

45	Pachliopta hector	Crimson rose	All months
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
46	Colotis danae	Crimson tip	Except Mar, Jun, Sep
	(Fabricius, 1775)		
47	Pareronia hippia	Common wanderer	Except April, Aug
	(Fabricius, 1787)		
48	Belenois aurota	Indian pioneer	Except Jul, Aug, Nov
	(Fabricius, 1793)		
49	Eurema brigitta	Small grass yellow	All months
	(Stoll, [1780])		
50	Catopsilia pyranthe	Mottled emigrant	Except Jan, Jul, Oct
	(Linnaeus, 1758)		
51	Leptosia nina	Psyche	Jan, Feb, May, Oct-Dec
	(Fabricius, 1793)		
52	Ixias Marianne	White orange tip	Feb, Aug, Nov
	(Cramer, [1779])		
53	lxias pyrene	Yellow orange-tip	Jan, May, June, Aug-Oct
	(Linnaeus, 1764)		

Table 3 provides insights into the occurrence of butterflies from different families during various seasons, namely the rainy season, winter, and summer. Among these, the Nymphalidae family exhibited the highest occurrence during both winter (20 instances) and the rainy season (20 instances), while it had a slightly lower count in summer with 15 instances. This family demonstrated the greatest number and diversity of butterflies during the monsoon, which could be attributed to the abundant growth of their host plants [32].Following closely, the Pieridae family was the next in occurrence, with 11 instances during winter, 10 during summer, and 9 during the rainy season. This pattern might be due to the presence of plants from the Capparaceae and Caesalpiniaceae families, which are known to support the Pieridae family abundantly[33].The Lycaenidae family had 7 occurrences during both the rainy season and winter, and 5 occurrences during summer. Meanwhile, the Papilionoidae family had 6 occurrences each during both winter and summer, and 5 occurrences during the rainy season.Conversely, the Hespariidae family had fewer instances, with only 2 occurrences in the rainy and winter seasons, and just 1 occurrence recorded during the summer season.




Fig. 2. Population trends of butterfly families in different seasons

Seasonal variation exerts a significant influence on species diversity, with notably higher values typically observed during the monsoon season[34]. From the data in Table 3 and Figure 2, the study documented four families of butterflies, some of which occurred consistently throughout the seasons while others were present only during specific months of the year. In the case of Lycaenidae, the

occurrence was relatively similar during both the rainy and winter seasons, with a lower occurrence in the summer. These findings contrast with those of [23] but are in proximity to the results reported by [25]. A similar trend, with respect to Hespariidae and Nymphalidae, was observed with various other studies [35,36]. However, Papilionoidae exhibited its highest number of species occurrences during the winter and summer seasons, with the rainy season recording the lowest occurrences. On the other hand, Pieridae showed a greater number of species occurrences in winter, followed by summer and the rainy season. This seasonal dynamics of Pieridae is similar to the findings reported by [23,25]. From Figure 3, which considers the mean number of species occurrences from five different families, it is evident that the highest mean number of species occurrences was observed during the rainy and winter seasons, with a noticeable decline during the summer season. These results differ from the observations made by [37,38] but are in line with the findings reported in these studies [36,39,40,41].




Fig. 3. Seasonal distribution of various Genera




Fig. 4. Hierarchical cluster analysis of Bray-Curti's similarity index

During the hierarchical cluster analysis of the Bray-Curtis similarity index using Past 4.03 software, the study uncovered interesting patterns. It was found that the rainy and winter seasons exhibited significant similarity in terms of the number of species occurrences, while they differed in similarity from the summer season. Among the observed families, the primary similarity was observed between Papilionoidea and Lycaenidae, with these two families sharing a common similarity. These two families, in turn, had a similarity with Pieridae, and Pieridae shared similarity with Nymphalidae. Lastly, these four families (Papilionoidae, Lycaenidae, Pieridae, and Nymphalidae) collectively had a decreasing similarity with Hespariidae, with Papilionoidae showing the highest similarity and Hespariidae the lowest. This hierarchical pattern of similarity among the families provides valuable insights into their ecological relationships and interactions within the ecosystem.




Plate 1: A) Baronet B) Black Rajah C) Blue Mormon D) Blue Pansy E) Blue Tiger F) Variable

SwiftG) Chocolate Pansy H) Commander I) Indian Cerulean




Plate 2: A) Common Crow B) Common Emigrant C) Common Four ring D) Common Gull E)
Common Jezebel F) Common Lime G) Common Mormon H) Indian Nawab I) Common Palmfly J)
Common Pierrot K) Common Rose L) Common Sailor M) Common wanderer N) Common Leopard
O) Crimson Rose P) Crimson Tip Q) Danaid Egg Fly R) Common Evening Brown S) Dark Grass
Blue T) Forgot Me Not U) Plains Cupid V) Great Egg Fly W) Grey Pansy X) Gram Blue



Plate 3: A) Indian Pioneer B) Indian Skipper C) Lemon Pansy D) Mottled Emigrant E) Peacock Pansy F) Plain Tiger G) Red Pierrot H) Common Silverline I) Small Orange Tip J) Striped Tiger K) Common Jay L) Tawny Coster M) Tiny Grass Blue N) White Orange Tip O) Yellow Orange Tip P) Zebra Blue




Plate 4: A) Acacia nilotica B) Barleria prionitis C) Bauhinia purpurea D) Bauhinia vahlii E) Butea monosperma F)Calotropis gigantea G) Cassia fistula H) Dalbergia paniculata I) Holarrhena antidysenterica J) Hygrophila auriculata K) Lantana camara L) Mitragyna parvifloraM) Polygonum pleibium

4. CONCLUSION

The findings of this research highlight the rich diversity of butterflies present at the Forest Research Centre (FRC). This underscores the importance of further research on this relatively understudied group of insects within the FRC ecosystem. The focus of this research is primarily on exploring the butterflies and the specific plants they rely on as hosts. It is important to note that while certain butterfly species may be considered protected or uncommon in India, these designations may have limited applicability to the FRC specifically. India, as a nation, is home to numerous butterfly species that hold ecological significance. Therefore, it is imperative that we collaborate and take concerted efforts to safeguard this invaluable natural resource within the FRC. Preservation and conservation of butterflies and their habitats not only contribute to the protection of biodiversity but also have broader ecological and environmental implications. By working together, we can contribute to the conservation of these delicate and beautiful creatures and ensure their existence for future generations to appreciate and study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kunte K. India, a lifescape: Butterflies of peninsular India. Universities Press; 2000.
- 2. Pollard E. A method for assessing changes in the abundance of butterflies. Biological conservation. 1977 Sep 1;12(2):115-34.
- 3. New TR. Are Lepidoptera an effective 'umbrella group 'for biodiversity conservation? Journal of insect conservation. 1997 Mar;1(1):5-12.
- 4. Pateman RM, Hill JK, Roy DB, Fox R, Thomas CD. Temperature-dependent alterations in host use drive rapid range expansion in a butterfly. Science. 2012 May 25;336(6084):1028-30.
- 5. Naik D, Bhat S SG, Ghate SD, Mustak MS, Prasad Rao RS. Ecological interactions: Patterns of host utilization by tropical butterflies. bioRxiv. 2021 Dec 30:2021-12.
- 6. Kunte KJ. Seasonal patterns in butterfly abundance and species diversity in four tropical habitats in northern Western Ghats. Journal of biosciences. 1997 Dec;22:593-603.
- 7. Rao RS, Girish MS. Road kills: Assessing insect casualties using flagship taxon. Current Science. 2007 Mar 25:830-7.
- 8. Bonebrake TC, Ponisio LC, Boggs CL, Ehrlich PR. More than just indicators: a review of tropical butterfly ecology and conservation. Biological conservation. 2010 Aug 1;143(8):1831-41.
- Beaumont LJ, Hughes L. Potential changes in the distributions of latitudinally restricted Australian butterfly species in response to climate change. Global Change Biology. 2002 Oct;8(10):954-71.
- 10. Hayes L, Mann DJ, Monastyrskii AL, Lewis OT. Rapid assessments of tropical dung beetle and butterfly assemblages: contrasting trends along a forest disturbance gradient. Insect Conservation and Diversity. 2009 Aug;2(3):194-203.
- 11. Giriraj, A., Irfan-Ullah, M., Murthy, M.S.R. and Beierkuhnlein, C., 2008. Modelling spatial and temporal forest cover change patterns (1973-2020): A case study from South Western Ghats (India). Sensors, 8(10), pp.6132-6153.

- 12. Feltwell J. The Natural History of Butterflies, Groom Helem Ltd. Provident House, Bureel Row, Beckenham Kent BR3 IAT. 1986;133.
- 13. Jha CS, Dutt CB, Bawa KS. Deforestation and land use changes in Western Ghats, India. Current Science. 2000 Jul 25:231-8.
- 14. Heppner JB. Classification of Lepidoptera I, Introduction. Holarct. Lepid.. 1998;5(1):1-48.
- 15. Kunte K, Sondhi S, Sangma BM, Lovalekar R, Tokekar K, Agavekar G. Butterflies of the Garo Hills of Meghalaya, northeastern India: their diversity and conservation. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2012 Sep 12:2933-92.
- 16. Varshney RK, Smetacek P, editors. A synoptic catalogue of the butterflies of India.
- 17. Chandra, K., Deepa, J., Raghunathan, C., Jadhav, S. S., & Karuthapandi, M. (2021). Current status of faunal diversity in Telangana: 1-394 (Published by the Director, Zool. Surv. India, Kolkata). Published: March.
- 18. Khartade KS, editor. Telangana State: Biodiversity Field Guide. Telangana State Biodiversity Board; 2019.
- 19. FSI (Forest Survey of India) 2021 India State of Forest Report; Ministry of Environment and Forests.
- 20. Chandra K, Deepa J, Raghunathan C, Jadhav SS, Karuthapandi M. Current status of faunal diversity in Telangana: 1-394 (Published by the Director, Zool. Surv. India, Kolkata). Published: March. 2021.
- 21. Nowicki P, Settele J, Henry PY, Woyciechowski M. Butterfly monitoring methods: the ideal and the real world. Israel Journal of Ecology & Evolution. 2008 Jan 1;54(1):69-88.
- 22. Chowdhury S, Zalucki MP, Amano T, Woodworth BK, Venegas-Li R, Fuller RA. Seasonal spatial dynamics of butterfly migration. Ecology Letters. 2021 Sep;24(9):1814-23.
- 23. Hussain KJ, Ramesh T, Satpathy KK, Selvanayagam M. Seasonal dynamics of butterfly population in DAE campus, Kalpakkam, Tamil Nadu, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2011 Jan 26;3(1):1401-14.
- 24. Kumar P, Ramarajan S, Murugesan AG. Diversity of butterflies in relation to climatic factors in environmental centre campus of Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tamil Nadu India. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2017;5(2):1125-34.
- 25. Mukherjee K, Mondal A. Butterfly diversity in heterogeneous habitat of Bankura, West Bengal, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2020 May 26;12(8):15804-16.
- 26. Kulkarni M, Ghadi A. Eco-sustainability of the diversity of butterfly species in campus of the dr. Homi bhabha state university, Institute of science, fort, Maharashtra, India. Advance and Innovative Research. 2022 Jul:116.
- 27. Narayana E, Ramesh R, Lakshmi M. Studies on butterfly diversity in forest habitats of Warangal district, Telangana, India. Biolife. 2017;5(1), pp 44-47.
- 28. Sailu G, Narayana BL, Ramaiyan D, Naresh B, Rao VV, Adepu H, Khandelwal R, Devulapalli P, Krishna P. Faunal diversity of Ameenpur Lake, Telangana state, India: A biodiversity heritage site. Journal of entomology and zoology studies. 2017;5(1):512-26.

- 29. Prasad KK, Srinivasulu C, Srinivasulu B, Rao GR. A contribution to the Butterfly fauna of Manjeera Wildlife Sanctuary, Andhra Pradesh, India (Lepidoptera). Munis Entomology & Zoology. 2012;7(2):1178-84.
- 30. Samatha C, Sammaiah C, Vijayakumar N. Butterfly diversity of Kakatiya University Campus, Vidhyaranyapuri, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh. Zoos' Print. 2012;27(10):26â.
- 31. Tiple AD, Khurad AM, Dennis RL. Butterfly larval host plant use in a tropical urban context: Life history associations, herbivory, and landscape factors. Journal of Insect Science. 2011 Jan 1;11(1):65.
- 32. Sengupta P, Banerjee KK, Ghorai N. Seasonal diversity of butterflies and their larval food plants in the surroundings of upper Neora Valley National Park, a sub-tropical broad leaved hill forest in the eastern Himalayan landscape, West Bengal, India. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2014 Jan 26;6(1):5327-42.
- 33. Eswaran R, Pramod P. Structure of butterfly community of Anaikatty hills, Western Ghats. Zoos' Print Journal. 2005;20(8):1939-42.
- 34. Sharma N, Sharma S. Assemblages and seasonal patterns in butterflies across different ecosystems in a sub-tropical zone of Jammu Shiwaliks, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Tropical Ecology. 2021 Jun;62(2):261-78.
- 35. Gohel V, Rava J. Butterfly diversity, seasonality and status a t Junagadh, Gujarat, India. International Journal of Environment, Ecology, Family and Urban Studies (IJEEFUS). 2019;9(2):15-28.
- 36. Mallick MA. Abundance, habitat preference and seasonal patterns of different butterfly species (Order: Lepidoptera): A preliminary study in West Bengal State University (WBSU) campus, West Bengal, India. Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci. 2023;10(3):6-21.
- 37. Sharmila EJ, Thatheyus AJ, Susaritha S, Snegapriya M. Seasonality of butterflies in Alagar Hills reserve forest, India. Entomon. 2020 Mar 1;45(1).
- Naik D, Rao RS, Kunte K, Mustak MS. Seasonal dynamics and polyphenism of butterfly communities in the coastal plains of central Western Ghats, India. Journal of Biosciences. 2022 Dec 17;47(4):79.
- 39. Saikia MK. Diversity of Tropical Butterflies In Urban Altered Forest At Gauhati Uiversity Campus, Jalukbari, Assam, India. Journal of Global Biosciences. 2014;3(2):452-63.
- 40. Ganvir DR, Khaparde KP. Seasonal Diversity and Status of Butterfly Fauna in Sakoli Taluka of Bhandara District, Maharashtra, India. Int. J. Life. Sci. Scienti. Res. eISSN. 2018;2455(1716):1716.
- 41. Saraf KK, Vijaykumar K. Effect of climate change on the population of butterfly familiesspecies richness, abundance and species composition across the different seasons of the year in Kalaburagi, Karnataka, India. World News of Natural Sciences. 2021;34:1-28.