



Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 167, 357-377. With 4 figures

# Growing coffee: *Psilanthus* (Rubiaceae) subsumed on the basis of molecular and morphological data; implications for the size, morphology, distribution and evolutionary history of *Coffea*

AARON P. DAVIS FLS<sup>1\*</sup>, JAMES TOSH<sup>2</sup>, NICOLAS RUCH<sup>1</sup><sup>†</sup> and MICHAEL F. FAY FLS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3AB, UK <sup>2</sup>National History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

Received 11 April 2011; revised 7 July 2011; accepted for publication 9 August 2011

Morphological and molecular phylogenetic studies show that there is a close relationship between Coffea and Psilanthus. In this study we reassess species relationships based on improved species sampling for Psilanthus, including P. melanocarpus, a species that shares morpho-taxonomic characters of both genera. Analyses are performed using parsimony and Bayesian inference, on sequence data from four plastid regions [trnL-F intron, trnL-F IGS, rpl16 intron and accD-psa1 intergenic spacer (IGS)] and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS 1/5.8S/ITS 2). Several major lineages with geographical coherence, as identified in previous studies based on smaller and larger data sets, are supported. Our results also confirm previous studies showing that the level of sequence divergence between Coffea and Psilanthus species is negligible, particularly given the much longer branch lengths separating other genera of tribe Coffeeae. There are strong indications that neither Psilanthus nor Coffea is monophyletic. Psilanthus melanocarpus is nested with the Coffea-Psilanthus clade, which means that there is only one critical difference between Coffea and Psilanthus; the former has a long-emergent style and the latter a short, included style. Based on these new data, in addition to other systematically informative evidence from a broad range of studies, and especially morphology, Psilanthus is subsumed into Coffea. This decision increases the number of species in Coffea from 104 to 124, extends the distribution to tropical Asia and Australasia and broadens the morphological characterization of the genus. The implications for understanding the evolutionary history of Coffea are discussed. A group of closely related species is informally named the 'Coffea liberica alliance'. © 2011 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 167, 357-377.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: accD-psa1 intergenic spacer (IGS) – Coffeeae – crop wild relatives (CWR) – internal transcribed spacer (ITS) – Old World biogeography – molecular phylogenetics – morphology – rpl16 intron – trnL-F intron – trnL-F IGS.

# INTRODUCTION

#### TAXONOMIC BACKGROUND

*Psilanthus* Hook.f. is a genus of 20 species, occurring in the Old World Tropics from West Africa to northern Australia (Davis, 2003; Govaerts *et al.*, 2011). Since its inception (Hooker, 1873a, b), when it contained a

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: a.davis@kew.org

single species (*P. mannii* Hook.f.), *Psilanthus* has been closely associated with *Coffea* L. Hooker (1873a) stated that: 'As a genus it is evidently clearly allied both in habit and characters to *Coffea*, differing in accrescent eglandular calyx, and in the structure of the fruit, which is crustaceous and 2-celled, not drupaceous with 2 pyrenes'. However, he went on to say: 'I do not, however, place much dependence on this last point, for though fully formed, being seedless, the fruits of *Psilanthus* may be abnormally developed' (Hooker, 1873a). In the *Flora of Tropical* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Current address: Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 20A Inverleith Row, Edinburgh EH3 5LR, UK.

Africa Hiern (1877) provided a description for Psilanthus, separating it from Coffea mainly on the basis of its included or partly included anthers (vs. anthers exserted or partly included in *Coffea*), included style (vs. shortly exserted), and fruit crowned by subfoliaceous, accrescent calyx lobes (vs. non-accrescent). Hiern (1877) added two more species of Psilanthus, P. ebracteolatus Hiern and P. tetramerus Hiern (= P. mannii) and, although he made no direct comparison with Coffea, he placed the two genera together in his numbering sequence. The placement of P. ebracteolatus was, however, not entirely consistent with his delimitation of *Psilanthus*: this species has a short, included style and included anthers but lacks the distinct large accrescent calyx lobes of *P. mannii*. De Wildeman (1910) added a third species, P. sapinii De Wild, a species closely related (Maurin et al., 2007) and morphologically similar to P. mannii.

Chevalier (1942) enumerated five Psilanthus species: P. mannii, P. ledermannii A.Chev. ined. (= P. mannii), P. minor A.Chev. ined. (= P. sapinii), P. ebracteolatus and P. jasminoides Hutch. & Dalziel (=Argocoffeopsis eketensis (Wernham) Robbr.). This delimitation has been considered (Davis, Bridson & Rakotonasolo, 2005) illogical given the key characters used by Chevalier (1942) to separate *Psilanthus* and Coffea, which would exclude P. ebracteolatus and P. jasminoides: calyx limb surmounted by five accrescent lobes, which are filiform at first but rapidly become foliaceous (vs. calyx limb entire or slightly toothed in Coffea); fruit with five narrow wings, extending from the limb of the calyx to the base of fruit (vs. fruits without wings). In a later study, Chevalier (1947: 226) placed P. ebracteolatus in its own genus, Cofeanthus A.Chev. Psilanthus jasminioides was retained in Psilanthus, even although the name is based on the same type specimen as Coffea jasminoides Welw. ex Hiern, which he placed in Coffea section Argocoffea Pierre ex De Wild. (Chevalier, 1947: 131); both of these names have since been transferred to the genus Argocoffeopsis Lebrun and are synonyms of A. eketensis (Wernham) Robbr. (Robbrecht, 1986: 158).

The first person to contest the delimitation of *Psilanthus* spp. was Brenan (1953: 115–116). Upon examination of fully mature fruits of *P. ebracteolatus*, Brenan was in little doubt as to the affinities of this species. He observed, correctly, that the fruits of *P. ebracteolatus* are 'quite smooth outside, and the calyx is scarcely visible at all' (Brenan, 1953: 116), in other words, markedly different from those of *P. mannii*, fruits of which have five narrow longitudinal wings on the outside and are crowned by five large, accrescent foliaceous calyx lobes. Brenan (1953: 116) stated that: 'I am unable to see any reason against *P. ebracteolatus* being a *Coffea*, the fruits and

seeds in particular showing very good agreement. The seeds of *P. ebracteolatus* when roasted smell distinctly of coffee'. In conclusion, Brenan formally placed *P. ebracteolatus* in *Coffea* (*C. ebracteolatus* (Hiern) Brenan).

Upon detailed study of African and Asian Coffea, Leroy (1962a, b, 1967a, b, c, 1968b) transferred nine species of Coffea section Paracoffea Miq. to the genus Paracoffea J.-F.Leroy (Davis, 2003), his circumscription of this genus being largely based on the works of Chevalier (1929, 1938, 1942, 1947). After further consideration of African and Madagascan Coffea relatives, Leroy (1980a) decided to make Paracoffea a subgenus of *Psilanthus*, and proposed two subgenera: subgenus *Psilanthus* for species from Africa (P. mannii and P. sapinii, i.e. those species with the distinctive accrescent calyx lobes and winged fruit) and subgenus Paracoffea (Miq.) J.-F.Leroy for all other species, from Africa, Asia and New Guinea, but excluding any Madagascan species (Leroy, 1980b). Following his reorganization of *Psilanthus*, Leroy (1981) placed several species of Coffea (C. benghalensis B.Heyne ex Schult., C. cochinchinensis Pierre ex Pit., C. floresiana Boerl., C. fragrans Wall. ex Hook.f., C. mabesae (Elmer) J.-F. Leroy, C. madurensis Teijsm. & Binn. ex Koord., C. melanocarpa Welw. ex Hiern, C. wightiana Wall. ex Wight & Arn.) in Psilanthus, presumably as representatives of Psilanthus subgenus Paracoffea. However, Leroy overlooked Coffea subgenus Afrocoffea Moens (Moens, 1962), which predates and competes with the use of Paracoffea at subgeneric rank (Bridson, 1987; Davis, 2003). The placement of Paracoffea spp. in Psilanthus subgenus Afrocoffea has since been upheld (e.g. Bridson, 1987, 1988a, b; Sivarajan, Biju & Mathew, 1992; Stoffelen, 1998; Davis, 2003). Paracoffea brassii J.-F.Leroy (Leroy, 1968a) was added to Psilanthus (subgenus Afrocoffea) by Davis (2003).

The morphological description of Paracoffea, and hence *Psilanthus* subgenus *Paracoffea*, as given Leroy (1967b: 1044) is as follows, with characters for Coffea in parentheses, redundant or identical characters removed and exceptions in square brackets: bushy shrubs (vs. shrubs, well-branched shrubs, trees); growth habit mixed, monopodial and sympodial, rarely monopodial [only Paracoffea melanocarpa] (vs. exclusively monopodial); generally deciduous but in Africa sometimes semi-persistent or persistent (vs. leaves generally persistent); leaves thin (vs. leaves thick), often with hairs well developed, sometimes glabrous (vs. glabrous); inflorescences generally terminal, or terminal and axillary, or axillary [only P. melanocarpa] (vs. inflorescences exclusively axillary, sometimes subterminal); lacking calyculi [except P. melanocarpa] (vs. one or many calyculi); corolla tube long (vs. corolla tube relatively short); anthers

included and sessile [except Madagascan species], generally supramedifixed or medifixed (vs. exserted. submedifixed); style included [except Madagascan species] (vs. style exserted); fruits didymous sometimes winged (vs. not or slightly didymous, not winged); tegument of seed with dorsal vascularization (vs. with or without dorsal vascularization); pollen trior tetracolporate or tetracolporate (vs. generally tricolporate), sexine clavulate or clavulate-reticulate, sometimes very thick (vs. sexine baculate). Leroy (1967a, c) used the term 'epicalice', epicalyx in English, and Chevalier (1942) used (connate) bracteoles, but the term now employed is calyculus (singular)/calyculi (plural) (Davis et al., 2005). A calyculus is a tubular or cup-like structure subtending the flowers and resembling a calyx. It is formed from reduced stipules and leaves (Davis et al., 2005).

Based on all the characters given by Leroy (1967a, b, c, 1980a, b, 1981), Bridson (1988b) summarized the key differences between Psilanthus and Coffea as: anthers included, filaments absent or very short (vs. exserted, filaments long); style short, included (vs. long, exserted); corolla tube always longer than lobes (vs. corolla tube usually about the same length as the lobes): inflorescences (and flowers) terminal on reduced shoots or some species both terminal and axillary [vs. inflorescences (flowers) axillary or less often terminal on reduced shoots]. According to Bridson (1987, 1988a), the differences between subgenus Psilanthus (P. mannii and P. sapinii) and subgenus Afrocoffea (all other Psilanthus spp.) were: evergreen habit, with a sympodial growth pattern (vs. mostly deciduous, mostly with a monopodial growth pattern), and large, accrescent calyx lobes (vs. small, non-accrescent calyx lobes). Bridson (1982) added two new African species of Psilanthus, P. lerovi Bridson and P. semsei Bridson, and made one new combination for an African Coffea species: P. lebrunianus (Germ. & Kesler) J.-F.Leroy ex Bridson (Bridson, 1987). The most recent *Psilanthus* spp. were Indian taxa described by Sivarajan et al. (1992), P. bababudanii Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew, P. bridsoniae Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew and P. malabaricus Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew, although these species were later placed into the synonymy of P. benghalensis (B.Heyne ex Schult.) J.-F.Leroy, P. wightianus (Wall. ex Wight & Arn.) J.-F.Leroy and P. fragrans (Wall. ex Hook.f.) J.-F.Leroy, respectively, by Deb (2002).

# MORPHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS

A morphological reassessment of *Coffea* and *Psilan*thus by Davis *et al.* (2005) largely supported the findings of Bridson (1988a, b), in that *Psilanthus* can be separated from *Coffea* on the basis of five morphological characters (see above for characters in *Coffea*): (1) absent or very short (< 0.5 mm long) filaments [except P. melanocarpus; anthers 0.5–1.0 mm long]; (2) supramedifixed anthers [except P. melanocarpus; submedifixed]; (3) included or just emergent anthers; (4) short styles; (5) and mean number of pollen apertures (after Stoffelen, Robbrecht & Smets, 1997). Davis et al. (2005) argued that all *Psilanthus* and *Coffea* spp. possess calyculi, one of the key characters used in the characterization of Psilanthus by Leroy (1967b, 1972a, b). Davis et al. (2005) made the observation that, although calvculi can be highly modified, especially in Coffea subgenus Baracoffea (J.-F.Leroy) J.-F.Leroy (currently known as the 'Baracoffea alliance'; Davis & Rakotonasolo, 2008), the basic structure is remarkably consistent across the two genera. In particular, the foliar leaves subtending the flowers in the Baracoffea alliance and in many Psilanthus are in fact modified (enlarged) foliar lobes of the calyculus. Davis et al. (2007) went even further, proposing that calyculi are present in all 11 genera of Coffeeae DC., and that the presence of theses structures is one of the key characters of the tribe. Davis et al. (2005) also showed that two other key characters used for the characterization of *Psilanthus* by Leroy (1967b, 1972a, b) were also found in Coffea. Firstly, the sympodial growth pattern and terminal inflorescence position (the latter influences the former) of Psilanthus is also found in the Baracoffea alliance and C. rhamnifolia (Chiov.) Bridson. Secondly, although the corolla tube of *Psilanthus* is usually distinctly long-tubular (always much longer than the corolla lobes), and in most Coffea it is short-tubular (shorter to slightly longer than the corolla lobes), in the Baracoffea alliance the corolla tubes are of a similar length to those in Psilanthus. Davis et al. (2005) discussed the possibility that anther appendages could represent a morphological difference between Coffea and Psilanthus, as some Psilanthus spp. possess sterile appendages at the apex of the filaments (Bridson, 1982: fig. 13e) and this character is lacking in Coffea. These appendages are usually quite short (e.g. c. 1 mm long or less), and either pointed or obtuse at the apex. However, Davis et al. (2005) found that sterile anther appendages were absent in at least three Psilanthus spp.: P. leroyi, P. melanocarpus and P. travancorensis (Wight & Arn.) J.-F.Leroy.

Davis *et al.* (2005) reiterated the anomalous position of *P. melanocarpus* within *Psilanthus*: it has submedifixed anthers (like *Coffea*) and anther filaments 0.5–1.0 mm long (vs. 0.5 mm in *Psilanthus*; 2 mm or longer in *Coffea*). Furthermore, the evergreen habit and axillary infloresences of *P. melanocarpus* resemble *Psilanthus* subgenus *Psilanthus* (i.e. *P. mannii* and *P. sapinii*), even though it clearly lacks the accrescent calyx lobes and ribbed fruits of this subgenus. Davis *et al.* (2005) concluded that: 'Our data infer that *P. melanocarpus* should be removed from *Psilanthus* subgenus *Afrocoffea*, but we cannot say where this taxon should be placed within the "core Coffeeae"'. Earlier, Andreasen & Bremer (1996) stated that: '*P. melanocarpus* should be placed either in a genus of its own or in *Coffea*, rather than be included in *Psilanthus*. Our morphological data, however, do not support the placement of *P. melanocarpus* within *Coffea*'.

Maurin et al. (2007) elaborated on the morphological discussion of Davis et al. (2005), and in particular with reference to their molecular analysis, which showed that Coffea subgenus Baracoffea (i.e. the Baracoffea alliance; Davis & Rakotonasolo, 2008) and C. rhamnifolia are nested within Coffea subgenus Coffea. The Baracoffea alliance is a group of nine species from the western, seasonally dry forests of Madagascar, and C. rhamnifolia is from the dry shrublands of north-east Kenya and south-east Somalia (Davis et al., 2006; Davis & Rakotonasolo, 2008). These taxa share many of the characters of *Psilanthus* subgenus Afrocoffea (Leroy, 1961; Davis et al., 2005), particularly deciduousness, axillary and terminal inflorescences, the presence of an indumentum (leaves and corolla) and long corolla tubes (only the Baracof*fea* alliance). These results effectively reduced the morphological differences between Coffea and Psilanthus. Maurin et al. (2007) did not sample P. melano*carpus* but argued that if it were placed with either Coffea or Psilanthus, the differences between the genera would be minimal. As a species of *Psilanthus*, only two characters would separate Psilanthus and Coffea: (1) short (fully within corolla tube) vs. long (emergent) style; (2) mostly or fully included anthers vs. partially emergent or fully emergent anthers. If *P. melanocarpus* were nested within *Coffea*, then only one character would separate Psilanthus and Coffea: absent or very short (0.5 mm long) filaments vs. longer (0.5–2.0 mm, or longer) filaments. Clearly, these differences are not substantial.

The number of pollen apertures (Leroy, 1967b; Lobreau-Callen & Leroy, 1980; Chinnappa & Warner, 1981; Stoffelen *et al.*, 1997) has been used as additional evidence to separate *Coffea* and *Psilanthus*. However, considerable polymorphism is evident and there is overlap in the number of apertures and sexine ornamentation between the two genera and between their subgenera (Stoffelen *et al.*, 1997; Davis *et al.*, 2005).

#### MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETIC DATA

Lashermes *et al.* (1997) used the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (ITS2) to examine relationships between 37 accessions of *Coffea* and three accessions of *Psilanthus*. Their study indicated limited sequence

variation between the two genera. In some of their analyses. P. mannii and P. ebracteolatus were placed sister to a clade of east African Coffea spp.; the Indian species, P. travancorensis, was nested within a clade of Madagascan species. Cros et al. (1998) examined 23 Coffea taxa and two Psilanthus spp. in their study, using plastid sequences from the trnL-trnF intergenic spacer (IGS). They also detected low levels of sequence variation between Coffea and Psilanthus and stated that P. mannii and P. ebracteolatus do not appear to be closely related. Lashermes et al. (1997) and Cros et al. (1998) concluded that the division of Coffea and Psilanthus into two genera was unsupportable. Maurin et al. (2007) sampled 84 species (86 accessions) of Coffea and seven species of Psilanthus (82% and 35% of the total species diversity, respectively) using sequence data from four plastid regions (trnL-F intron, trnL-F IGS, rpl16 intron and accDpsa1 IGS) and the ITS region (ITS1/5.8S/ITS2). Their combined plastid analysis shows that African Psilanthus (P. ebracteolatus, P. mannii, P. sapinii, P. semsei, P. sp. A) are sister to Coffea spp. from the Lower Guinea/Congolian region [BP (bootstrap percentage value; Felsenstein, 1985) 53; b (Bremer support value/ decay value; Bremer, 1988, 1994; Källersjö et al., (1992) = 1; African *Psilanthus* (BP 85; b = 2) and Indian Psilanthus (P. bridsoniae, P. travancorensis) (BP 100; b = 7) are both well supported, although the latter was unresolved at the base of the tree. The ITS analyses provides less information on relationships for Psilanthus spp.: the two species of Indian Psilanthus are well supported as a clade (BP 93; b = 4), but the relationships for the other species are unresolved. Their combined plastid-ITS analysis shows that Indian *Psilanthus* (BP 100; b = 12) and African *Psilanthus* (BP 96; b = 5) form well-supported clades. Psilanthus subgenus Psilanthus (P. mannii, P. sapi*nii*) was well supported (BP 99; b = 5), but the monophyly of *Psilanthus* subgenus *Afrocoffea* was not substantiated. Coffea rhamnifolia was placed with the two species of Indian Psilanthus, but this relationship was weakly supported (BP 57; b = 1). As in the combined plastid analysis and ITS analysis, the relationship between Coffea and Psilanthus was largely unresolved because of low levels of sequence divergence. Maurin et al. (2007) concluded that: 'The robust morphological (Robbrecht & Puff, 1986; Davis et al., 2005) and molecular support for Coffea plus *Psilanthus* (Davis *et al.*, 2007), low sequence diversity between these genera (Davis et al., 2007, fig. 4) and indications of paraphyly (Davis et al., 2007, figs 2 and 4), may be taken as evidence for accepting Coffea and Psilanthus as a single genus (Lashermes et al., 1997; Cros et al., 1998). However, it is believed that further molecular data are needed to resolve fully the relationship between Coffea and Psilanthus,

and in particular sequence data are required for *P. melanocarpus* and other species of *Psilanthus*'.

In an appraisal of tribe Coffeeae, Davis *et al.* (2007) concluded that *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* formed a well-supported clade, based on combined molecular data (BP 100, b = 9) and combined molecular-morphological data (BP 100, b = 13), which was positioned in a sister relationship relative to the rest of the tribe. Morphologically, the *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* clade was supported by the apparent loss of secondary pollen presentation and the presence of a hard (horny/crustaceous) endocarp, seeds with a deep ventral groove and a seed coat consisting of crushed endotestal cells and more or less isolated fibres ('coffee bean' morphology).

The study of the genera of Coffeeae by Tosh et al. (2009) supported many of the findings of Davis et al. (2007), but provided a much clearer indication of intergeneric sequence divergence within the tribe. Tosh et al. (2009) showed that well-established, easily circumscribed genera of Coffeeae have substantially longer branch lengths supporting the genera relative to the branch lengths within these genera. The only obvious exceptions are the clades Argocoffeopsis Lebrun + Calycosiphonia Pierre ex Robbr. (BP 99, branch length (bl) = 15) and Coffea + Psilanthus (BP 100, bl = 17). Like *Coffea* and *Psilanthus*, the generic delimitation of Argocoffeopsis and Calycosiphonia is problematic and it is likely that these genera need to be combined as a single entity (Davis & Sonké, 2008).

Recently, Anthony *et al.* (2010) used plastid sequences from *trnL*–*F*, *trnT*–*L* and *atpB*–*rbcL* IGS from 24 *Coffea* taxa and two *Psilanthus* spp. (*P. mannii* and *P. ebracteolatus*), but were unable to offer any new insights because of low levels of sequence variation.

## HYBRIDIZATION AND CYTOGENETIC STUDIES

Couturon, Lashermes & Charrier (1998) produced intergeneric hybrids between C. arabica L. (2n = 44)and tetraploid genotypes of *P. ebracteolatus* (2n = 22). Forty-one plants were obtained, with nine plants surviving after 5 months in a nursery. Hybrid status was confirmed by means of cytological, molecular and morphological analysis. Couturon et al. (1998) posited that the mean production of two surviving hybrids per 100 pollinated flowers, and their fertility, were comparable with those reported for intrageneric crosses between Coffea spp. Both the capacity of C. arabica to hybridize with *P. ebracteolatus* and the fertility of the resultant hybrids appear high enough to envisage intergeneric gene transfer from *P. ebracteolatus* into C. arabica. Even though the P. ebracteolatus-C. arabica hybrid was made under laboratory conditions, with isolating barriers overcome by chemical and physical manipulation, Couturon *et al.* (1998) argued that the successful production of the hybrids demonstrates that intergeneric hybridization is not strongly affected by genome incompatibility and that their results did not support the separation of *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* at the generic level.

Cytogenetic studies of *Coffea* (*C. brevipes* Hiern, *C. racemosa* Lour.) and *Psilanthus* [*P. ebracteolatus*, *P. benghalensis* and *P. travancorensis*] were undertaken by Lombello & Pinto-Maglio (2003, 2004), using chromomycin A3/4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (CMA/ DAPI) and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) cytogenetic markers. Their analysis enabled karyological characterization of these species, but their main finding was the remarkable cytological similarity between the species and the two genera.

#### THE PRESENT STUDY

In this contribution we use the molecular markers trnL-F intron, trnL-F intergenic spacer (IGS), rpl16 intron and accD-psa1 IGS) and the ITS region (ITS1/ 5.8S/ITS2), as used by Maurin et al. (2007), to further elucidate the relationships between Coffea and Psilanthus. We examine ten species of Psilanthus (16 samples; 50% of the total species diversity) from across its natural range. This represents respectable taxonomic and geographical coverage for Psilanthus, considering that the Asian and Australasian species (13 species in total; four sampled here) are likely to be monophyletic, given their close morphological similarity (Davis et al., 2005; Davis, 2010). An assumption of monophyly is supported by a molecular [random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers] study of four Psilanthus spp. from peninsula India, which showed statistically high values of genetic similarity (Kumar, Sudisha & Sreenath, 2008). Our study includes the morphologically incongruent P. melanocarpus, a species identified as critical for resolving the issue of delimitation and systematic placement of Psilanthus (Andreasen & Bremer, 1996, 2000; Davis et al., 2005; Maurin et al., 2007).

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### TAXON SAMPLING AND PLANT MATERIAL

We used a broad sampling of 45 *Coffea* spp., based on the study of Maurin *et al.* (2007), with all major lineages included. Notably, Madagascan species were reduced to nine species, as taxa from this island are largely unresolved (Maurin *et al.*, 2007) based on the markers used. A further sample of *C. rhamnifolia* is included and we add *C. charrieriana* Stoff. & F.Anthony (Stoffelen *et al.*, 2008), which was sampled by Anthony et al. (2010) but not by Maurin et al. (2007). For *Psilanthus*, we examine ten species (16 samples; 50% of the total species diversity). This sample includes a good representation of both subgenera: Psilanthus subgenus Psilanthus (all species) and Psilanthus subgenus Afrocoffea (eight species); and species from across the geographical range of the genus (Africa, India, Thailand and Australia). Four species not previously sampled in other molecular analyses are included here: P. lebrunianus samples), P. brassii (J.-F.Leroy) A.P.Davis (two (two samples), P. merguensis (Ridl.) J.-F.Leroy (one sample) and, crucially (see Introduction), P. melanocarpus (one sample). Further samples of P. mannii (two samples), and *P. ebracteolatus* (one sample) were newly sequenced. Three species of Tricalysia A.Rich. ex DC., a genus belonging to Coffeeae (Davis et al., 2007; Tosh et al., 2009), were used as the outgroup. Adding further members of Coffeeae and other Rubiaceae does not influence the ingroup topology, so further outgroups were not required; the systematic limits of Coffeae are well established (Davis et al., 2007; Tosh et al., 2009). Accession details and GenBank accession numbers for all samples are given in Table 1.

Taxonomic details and geographical range for all taxa (below generic rank) used or mentioned in this study follow the *World Rubiaceae Checklist* (Govaerts *et al.*, 2011; http://www.kew.org/wcsp/rubiaceae); more specific information for *Coffea* is given in Davis *et al.* (2006). Details of the subgeneric classification of *Coffea* and *Psilanthus*, including synonymy, is given in Davis (2003) and Davis *et al.* (2005).

# MAP CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF PHYTOGEOGRAPHICAL AREAS

Figure 4 is based on the distribution of individual specimens for each species, as recorded in a *Coffea* specimen database (approximately 4100 records; A. Davis, S. Dawson and P. Stoffelen, unpubl. data) and Madagascan/Mascarene *Coffea* specimen database (approximately 1100 records; A. Davis and S. Dawson, unpubl. data). A species distribution map was plotted and then a generalized map was drawn by hand.

The terminology for area-based clades follows Maurin *et al.* (2007): Upper Guinea (UG) clade, Lower Guinea/Congolian (LG/C) clade, East-Central Africa (EC-Afr) clade, East Africa (EA) clade and Mascarenes (MAS) clade (see Fig. 3). We adopt the following abbreviations for the African/Indian Ocean clade (A/IO), and Indian Ocean clade (IO). The humid West and Central African forests are contained within the Guineo-Congolian Regional Centre of Endemism (White, 1983). Within this major region there are three subcentres of endemism for humid forest species: (1) Upper Guinea; (2) Lower Guinea; and (3) Congolian (White, 1979). For practical purposes, subcentres (2) and (3) are often put together as the Lower Guinean/ Congolian region and this convention is followed here.

# DNA EXTRACTION, AMPLIFICATION AND SEQUENCING

DNA extraction and PCR amplification and sequencing protocols followed Maurin *et al.* (2007).

# DATA MATRIX COMPOSITION AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

DNA sequence assembly followed the methods of Maurin *et al.* (2007). Newly generated sequences were added to the matrices of Maurin *et al.* (2007) and aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), with subsequent manual editing performed in MacClade (Maddison & Maddison, 2002).

Maximum parsimony was implemented to analyse: (1) trnL-F; (2) rpl16; (3) accD-psa1; (4) combined plastid data; (5) ITS and (6) combined sequence data, using PAUP\*. In all analyses, gaps were treated as missing data and characters were equally weighted and unordered (Fitch, 1971). All data sets were analysed separately and examined by eye in order to identify topological conflict, i.e. moderate to strong support for placement of a taxon in different clades. Tree searches were conducted using 10 000 replicates of random taxon sequence addition, retaining ten trees at each step, with tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, delayed transformation (DELTRAN) optimization, MulTrees in effect and saving a maximum of ten trees per replicate. Support for clades in all analyses was estimated using bootstrap analysis (Felsenstein, 1985), with 10 000 replicates of full heuristic search, simple sequence addition, TBR swapping, with MulTrees in effect and saving a maximum of ten trees per replicate. Bootstrap support values (BP) are described as well supported (85-100%), moderate (75-84%) or low/weak (50-74%).

Bayesian analyses were implemented in MrBayes 3.1 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001), using the University of Oslo Bioportal (http://www. bioportal.uio.no). DNA substitution models for each data partition were determined using Modeltest ver. 3.06 (Posada & Crandall, 1998) under the Akaike information criterion (AIC). For each data set, two independent Bayesian analyses, each with four chains and starting from random trees, were run for 5 000 000 generations, sampling trees every 1000 generations. TRACER ver. 1.4 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2007) was used to check that each parameter had an effective sample size (ESS) > 100. The initial 1250 trees (25%) from each Bayesian run were disTable 1. Taxon accession data. Herbarium abbreviations after Holmgren et al. (1990). Where several internal transcribed spacer (ITS) types were isolated these are listed below with multiple GenBank accesion numbers

Taxon	Voucher (and duplcates)	Source	accd-psa1	rpl16	trnL- $F$	ITS
Coffea ambongensis JF.Leroy ex A.P.Davis & Rakotonas.	Davis 2509 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153419	DQ153668	DQ153786	DQ153539/DQ153540/ DQ153541
Coffea anthonyi Stoff. & F. Anthony	IRD-Montpelier OE 53 (K)	Congo-Brazzaville	DQ153489	DQ153738	DQ153856	DQ153620
Coffea arabica L.	Jaufeerally-Fakim 29 (K)	Mascarenes (introduced)	DQ153478	DQ153727	DQ153845	DQ153609
Coffea bakossi Cheek & Bridson	Lane 361 (BR, K)	Cameroon	DQ153468	DQ153717	DQ153835	DQ153599
Coffea boinensis A.P.Davis & Rakotonas.	Davis 2502 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153408	DQ153657	DQ153775	DQ153528
Coffea brevipes Heirn	Maurin 8 (K)	Cameroon	DQ153460	DQ153709	DQ153827	DQ153591
Coffea bridsoniae A.P.Davis & Mvungi	Davis 2904 (BR, K)	Tanzania	DQ153455	DQ153704	DQ153822	DQ153584/DQ153585/ DQ153586
Coffea campaniensis JF.L.erov*	Lerov 55 (K)	Mascarenes (Mauritius)	DQ153470	DQ153719	DQ153837	DQ153601
Coffea canephora Pierre ex A.Froehner	Maurin 21 (BR, K)	Cameroon (cultivated)	DQ153462	DQ153711	DQ153829	DQ153593
Coffea charrieriana Stoff. & F.Anthony	Anthony $s.n.$ (BR)	Cameroon	FR828682	FR828690	FR832857	FR832869
Coffea congensis A.Froehner	Harris & Fay 1507 (BR, K, MO)	Cameroon	DQ153467	DQ153716	DQ153834	DQ153598
Coffea costatifructa Bridson	ORSTOM 08 117 (K)	Tanzania	DQ153473	DQ153722	DQ153840	DQ153604
Coffea eugenioides S.Moore	Harley 9332 (BR, K)	Tanzania	DQ153457	DQ153706	DQ153824	DQ153588
Coffea fadenii Bridson	Mvungi 9 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153446	DQ153695	DQ153813	DQ153574
<i>Coffea grevei</i> Drake ex A.Chev.	Davis 2566 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153414	DQ153663	DQ153781	DQ153534
Coffea heterocalyx Stoff.	Maurin 23 (BR, K)	Cameroon	DQ153463	DQ153712	DQ153830	DQ153594
Coffea humbertii JF.Leroy	Rakotonasolo 50 (BR, K,TAN)	Madagascar	DQ153437	DQ153686	DQ153804	DQ153565
Coffea humilis A.Chev.	Bamps 1967 (BR)	Ivory Coast	DQ153480	DQ153729	DQ153847	DQ153611
Coffea kapakata (A.Chev.) Bridson	Hepper & Maley 7723 (K)	Angola	DQ153465	DQ153714	DQ153832	DQ153596
Coffea kianjavatensis JF.Leroy	Davis 2313 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153482	DQ153731	DQ153849	DQ153613
Coffea kihansiensis A.P.Davis & Mvungi	Mvungi 21 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153454	DQ153703	DQ153821	DQ153583
Coffea kimbozensis Bridson	Mvungi 6 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153447	DQ153696	DQ153814	DQ153575
Coffea kivuensis Lebrun	Lebrun 5539 (BR)	DR Congo	DQ153481	DQ153730	DQ153848	DQ153612
Coffea pterocarpa A.P.Davis & Rakotonas.	Davis 2519 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153425	DQ153674	DQ153792	DQ153550
Coffea labatii A.P.Davis & Rakotonas.	Davis 3069 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153499	DQ153748	DQ153866	DQ153630
Coffea liberica var. liberica Bull. ex Hiern	Van Caekenbergh 442 (BR)	DR Congo	DQ153479	DQ153728	DQ153846	DQ153610
<i>Coffea liberica</i> var. <i>dewerei</i> (De Wild. & T.Durand) Lebrun	Hepper & Maley 7729 (BR, K, MO)	Central African Republic	DQ153472	DQ153721	DQ153839	DQ153603
Coffea lulandoensis Bridson	Mvungi 2 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153452	DQ153701	DQ153819	DQ153580
Coffea macrocarpa A.Rich.	Gueho 18555 (K)	Mascarenes (Mauritius)	DQ153471	DQ153720	DQ153838	DQ153602
<i>Coffea mapiana</i> Sonké, Nguembou & A.P.Davis	Sonké 3694 (K, YA)	Cameroon	DQ153509	DQ153758	DQ153876	DQ153640
Coffea mauritiana Lam.	Friedmann 1267 (K)	Mascarenes (Reunion)	DQ153469	DQ153718	DQ153836	DQ153600
Coffea mayombensis A.Chev.	Maurin 16 (K)	Cameroon	DQ153461	DQ153710	DQ153828	DQ153592
Coffea millotii JF.Leroy	Davis 2306 (K)	Madagascar	DQ153409	DQ153658	DQ153776	DQ153529
Coffea mongensis Bridson	Mvungi 11 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153448	DQ153697	DQ153815	DQ153576
Coffea montekupensis Stoff.	Davis 3010 (K)	Cameroon	DQ153459	DQ153708	DQ153826	DQ153590
Coffea mufindiensis Hutch. ex Bridson	Mvungi 19 (DSM, K)	Tanzania	DQ153449	DQ153698	DQ153816	DQ153577
Coffea myrtifolia (A.Rich. ex DC.)	Jaufeerally-Fakim 022 (K)	Mascarenes (Mauritius)	DQ153477	DQ153726	DQ153844	DQ153608
JF.Leroy						

© 2011 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 167, 357-377

Taxon	Voucher (and duplcates)	Source	accd-psa1	rpl16	trnL- $F$	ITS
Coffea namorokensis A.P.Davis & Rakotomas †	Davis 2537 (BR, K, P, MO, TAN, TEF)	Madagascar	DQ153429	DQ153678	DQ153796	DQ153556
Coffea pocsii Bridson Coffea pseudozanguebariae Bridson	Mvungi 7 (DSM, K) Mvungi 16 (DSM, K)	Tanzania Tanzania	DQ153453 DQ153450	DQ153702 DQ153699	DQ153820 DQ153817	DQ153581/DQ153582 DQ153578
Coffea racemosa Lour. Coffea rhamnifolia (Chiov.) Bridson	Hepper & Maley 7717 (BR, K) Friis et al. 4908 (K, BR, P)	Mozambique Somalia	DQ153464 DQ153458	DQ153713 DQ153707	DQ153831 DQ153825	DQ153595 DQ153589
Coffea rhamnifolia (Chiov.) Bridson	O'Brien 23 [+98] (K)	Somalia	FR828681	FR828691	FR832850	FR832860
Coffea schliebenii Bridson	Mbago 2256 (DSM)	Tanzania	DQ153456	DQ153705	DQ153823	DQ153587 DO152570
Coffea anthonyi Stoff. & F.Anthony	IRD-Montpelier OE 53 (K)	DR. Congo	DQ153489	DQ153738	DQ153856	DQ153620
Coffea stenophylla G.Don	Hepper & Maley 7723 (K)	Ivory Coast	DQ153466	DQ153715	DQ153833	DQ153597
Coffea togoensis Jum. & H.Perrier	Hall & Abbins 43367 (K)	Togo	DQ153476	DQ153725	DQ153843	DQ153607
Coffea zangueberiae Lour.	Groenendijk 884 (K)	Mozambique	DQ153475	DQ153724	DQ153842	DQ153606
Psilanthus brassii (JF.Leroy) A.P.Davis	Nelder 3824 (BRI)	Australia	FR828683	FR828692	FR832853	FR832870
Psilanthus brassii (JF.Leroy) A.P.Davis		Australia	FR828684	FR828693	FR832854	FR832868
<i>Psilanthus bridsoniae</i> Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew	Biju & Sasi 44800 (K)	India	DQ153397	DQ153646	DQ153764	DQ153516
Psilanthus ebracteolatus Heirn	Davis 3008 (K)	Cameroon	DQ153392	DQ153641	DQ153759	DQ153510
Psilanthus ebracteolatus Heirn	(BR 197880061)	Upper Guinea	AM999392	AM999530	AM999471	FR832861 + FR832862
Psilanthus lebrunianus (Germ. & Kesler) Bridson	Breyne 2985 (BR)	DR Congo	FR828686	FR828695	FR832852	FR832864
Psilanthus lebrunianus (Germ. & Kesler) Bridson	Evrard 6322 (BR)	DR Congo	FR828685	FR828694	FR832851	FR832863
Psilanthus mannii Hook.f.	Maurin 1 (K)	Cameroon	DQ153393	DQ153642	DQ153760	DQ153511
Psilanthus mannii Hook.f.	Davis 3061 (K)	Cameroon	FR828687	FR828696	FR832856	FR832866
Psilanthus mannii Hook.f.	Harris 6958 (E)	Central African Republic	DQ180518	DQ180553	DQ180587	FR832865
Psilanthus melanocarpus (Welw. ex Hiern) JF.Leroy	Hallé 6469 (BR, K)	Angola	FR828688	FR828697	N/A	N/A
Psilanthus sapinii De Wild.	Sapin.s.n. (BR 0856914)	DR Congo	DQ153394	DQ153643	DQ153761	DQ153512
Psilanthus semsei Bridson	Kisera 1473 (K)	Tanzania	DQ153395	DQ153644	DQ153762	DQ153513
Psilanthus sp. 'A' (FTEA)	Luke 10197 (K)	Tanzania	DQ153399	DQ153648	DQ153766	DQ153518
Psilanthus merguensis (Ridl.) JF.Leroy		Thailand	FR828689	FR828698	FR832855	FR832867
Psilanthus travancorensis (Wight & Arn.) JF.Leroy	Biju s.n. (K)	India	DQ153398	DQ153647	DQ153765	DQ153517
Tricalysia cryptocalyx Baker	Davis 2173 [b] (BR, K)	Madagascar	DQ153400	DQ153649	DQ153767	DQ153519
Tricalysia perrieri Ranariv. & De Block subso <i>antsolovonsis</i> Ranariv & De Block	Davis 2325 (BR, K)	Madagascar	DQ180528	DQ180562	DQ180597	FR832858
Tricalysia dauphinensis Ranariv. & De	OKTAN 68 (K)	Madagascar	DQ180529	DQ180563	DQ180598	FR832859
Block						
Notes:						
*Acceptance as a separate species pending. Considered a synonym of <i>C. mauritiana</i> by Davis <i>et al.</i> (2006). †As <i>C. decaryana</i> JF. Leroy in Maurin <i>et al.</i> (2007).	sidered a synonym of <i>C. mauritiana</i> by Davis 007).	et al. (2006).				

Table 1. Continued

© 2011 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 167, 357-377

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/botlinnean/article/167/4/357/2418595 by guest on 20 April 2024

carded as burn-in and the remaining trees were summarized in a 50% majority rule consensus tree using PAUP\* ver. 4.0b10 to obtain posterior probabilities.

#### RESULTS


#### SINGLE AND COMBINED PLASTID ANALYSES

Individual plastid analyses, (1) trnL-F, (2) rpl16 and (3) accD-psa1, were topologically consistent (negligible to zero incongruence) and so were combined and treated as a single analysis. The combined plastid data set contained a total of 2981 characters, of which 2719 were constant, 122 were variable but parsimony uninformative, and 140 were potentially parsimony informative. Using parsimony analysis, the combined data set produced 98 140 equally parsimonious trees. A 50% majority rule Bayesian consensus tree with bootstrap values is shown in Figure 1. The following clades (excluding species and two-species sister-pairs) are well supported  $[BP \ge 85/Bayesian posterior prob$ ability (BPP) 1.0] under parsimony and Bayesian analysis (clade terminology follows Maurin et al., 2007; see above): Coffea and Psilanthus (all terminals in the analysis, less Tricalysia), BP 100/BPP 1.0; a group of African Psilanthus (P. mannii, P. sapinii, P. semsei, P. sp. 'A' (FTEA), P. ebracteolatus (both samples: Cameroon and Upper Guinea), P. melanocarpus), BP 85/BPP 1.0; Psilanthus subgenus Psilanthus (P. mannii and P. sapinii), BP 98/BPP 1.0; Asian and Australian Psilanthus species (P. bridsonieae, P. travancorensis, P. merguensis, P. brassii), BP 91/BPP 1.0; the LG/C clade [C. charrieriana, C. montekupensis Stoff., C. canephora Pierre ex A.Froehner, C. heterocalyx Stoff., C. congensis A.Froehner, C. brevipes, C. mayombensis A.Chev., C. kapakata (A.Chev.)Bridson, C. liberica Bull. ex Hiern (var. liberica and var. dewevrei (De Wild. & T.Durand) Lebrun), C. bakossi Cheek & Bridson, C. mapiana Sonké, Nguembou & A.P. Davis], BP 82/BPP 1.0; the EC-Afr clade (C. anthonyi Stoff. & F.Anthony, C. eugenioides S.Moore, C. kivuensis Lebrun), including C. arabica, BP 100/ BPP 1.0; the UG clade (C. stenophylla G.Don, C. humilis A.Chev., C. togoensis A.Chev.), BP 100/BPP 1.0; a group of predominately lowland to mid-latitude East African coffee species (C. pseudozanguebariae Bridson, C. bridsoniae A.P.Davis & Mvungi, C. sessiliflora Bridson, C. costatifructa Bridson, C. pocsii Bridson, C. schliebenii Bridson, C. racemosa, C. salvatrix Swynn. & Philipson), BP 85/BPP 1.0; a group of species from the Udzungwa Mountains in Tanzania (C. mufindiensis Hutch. ex Bridson subsp. mufindiensis, C. lulandoensis Bridson, C. kihansiensis A.P.Davis & Mvungi) BP 92/BPP 1.0; the EC-Afr clade, the UG clade and East African species listed directly above form a larger clade, BP 90/BPP 1.0; the MAS clade (C. mauritiana Lam., C. campaniensis J.-F.Leroy, C. macrocarpa A.Rich., C. myrtifolia (A.Rich. ex DC.) J.-F.Leroy), BP 90/1.0; and the Baracoffea alliance (C. labatii A.P.Davis & Rakotonas., C. humbertii J.-F.Leroy, C. grevei Drake ex A.Chev., C. ambongensis A.P.Davis & Rakotonas., C. boinensis A.P.Davis & Rakotonas., C. pterocarpa A.P.Davis & Rakotonas., C. namorokensis A.P.Davis & Rakotonas.), BP 95/ BPP 1.0, which has two other well-supported clades within the alliance.

There is no substantial support for the positions of the *Psilanthus* clades and *Psilanthus* spp. in relation to *Coffea*; in the strict consensus tree (not shown) they are unresolved at the base of the ingroup. *Psilanthus melanocarpus* is placed confidently within the African *Psilanthus* clade (see Fig. 1 and above), with two species of *Psilanthus* from the Udzungwa Mountains in Tanzania [*P. semsei*, *P.* sp. 'A' (FTEA)], although this relationship in not well supported (BP 55/ BPP 0.86).

#### ITS ANALYSIS

The ITS matrix contained a total of 788 characters, of which 600 were constant, 64 were variable but parsimony uninformative and 124 were potentially parsimony informative. Using parsimony analysis, the combined data set produced 78 608 equally parsimonious trees. A 50% majority-rule Bayesian consensus tree with bootstrap values is shown in Figure 2. The following clades (excluding species and two-species sister-pairs) are well supported (BP  $\ge$  85/BPP 1.0) under parsimony and Bayesian analysis (clade terminology follows Maurin et al., 2007; see above): Coffea and Psilanthus (all terminals in the analysis, less Tricalysia), BP 100/BPP 1.0; Asian and Australian Psilanthus spp. (P. bridsonieae, P. travancorensis, P. merguensis, P. brassii), BP 83/BPP 1.0; the EC-Afr clade (C. anthonyi, C. eugenioides, C. kivuensis), BP 88/BPP 1.0; a LG/C group of species (C. canephora, C. heterocalyx, C. congensis, C. brevipes, C. mayombensis, C. kapakata), including C. arabica, BP 93/BPP 1.0, but lacking five of the other LG/C species [C. liberica (var. liberica and var. dewevrei), C. charrieriana, C. montekupensis, C. bakossi, C. mapiana] present in the LG/C clade based on the combined plastid data; a group of species from the Udzungwa Mountains in Tanzania (C. mufindiensis subsp. mufindiensis, C. lulandoensis, C. kihansiensis), BP 86/BPP 0.98; and the MAS clade (C. mauritiana, C. myrtifolia, C. campaniensis), BP 98/BPP 1.0. For comparison with the combined plastid analysis, weak to moderately supported groups include: the UG clade (C. stenophylla, C. humilis, C. togoensis), BP 56/ BPP 0.91; the UG clade is sister the LG/C species group enumerated above, BP 80/BPP 1.0; and the



**Figure 1.** Plastid Bayesian majority rule consensus tree, based on 1500 trees. Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values > 50% are indicated above branches (BPP/BP); Asterisks denote Bayesian posterior probabilities < 0.95 and bootstrap values < 50%. See Table 1 for species authorities and provenance. Region and country abbreviations: Aus, Australia; CAF, Central African Republic; CMN, Cameroon; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; E-Afr, East Africa; EC-Afr, East–Central Africa; Ind, India; Mad, Madagascar; Mas, Mascarenes; NE-Afr, North-eastern Africa; QLD, Queensland (Australia); SOM, Somalia; Tha, Thailand; W/C-Afr, West and Central Africa (Guineo-Congolian Regional Centre of Endemism; White, 1983). Other abbreviation: FTEA (*Flora of Tropical East Africa*; Bridson, 1988a).

# Baracoffea alliance (C. humbertii, C. grevei, C. ambongensis, C. boinensis, C. namorokensis, C. labatii), but without C. pterocarpa, BP 65/BPP 0.98.

4


There is no substantial support for the positions of the *Psilanthus* clades and *Psilanthus* species in relation to *Coffea*, although in the strict consensus tree (not shown) Asian and Australian *Psilanthus* spp. are sister to the rest of *Coffea* + *Psilanthus* (less *C. rhamnifolia* and *C. charrieriana*), and African *Psilanthus* spp. remain positioned within a clade of African *Coffea* species. Thus, there is evidence in the ITS analysis that *Coffea* is polyphyletic if *Psilanthus* is recognized as a separate genus. We could not produce a complete or usable sequence for *P. melanocarpus* and so this species was not included in the ITS analysis.

#### COMBINED TOTAL PLASTID-ITS ANALYSIS

The relationships retrieved in the combined plastid analysis vs. the ITS analysis are not in serious conflict, but there are two notable incongruencies. Firstly, C. arabica, which is known to be a hybrid between C. canephora and C. eugenioides (Lashermes et al., 1999; Maurin et al., 2007): in the ITS analysis it is sister to C. canephora (BP 68/BPP 1.0), within a clade of several LG/C species; and in the combined plastid analysis it is confidently placed (BP 100/BPP 1.0) in an unresolved position within the EC-Afr clade (C. kivuensis, C. anthonyi, C. eugenioides). Coffea arabica was removed from the combined total plastid-ITS analysis. The second obvious incongruence is the UG clade, which falls within a clade containing the EC-Afr clade and East African species in the combined plastid analysis (BP 90/BPP 1.0), but in contrast is retrieved as a sister group to several LG/C species in the ITS analysis (BP 80/BPP 1.0). As reported by Maurin et al. (2007), removal or retention of the UG clade in the combined total plastid-ITS analysis does not significantly influence the topology, and for this reason it was retained.

The total combined plastid–ITS analysis retrieved the following well-supported clades, as shown in Figure 3. The following clades (excluding species and two-species sister-pairs) are well supported (BP > 85/ BPP 1.0) under parsimony and Bayesian analysis (clade terminology follows Maurin *et al.*, 2007; see above): *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* (all terminals in the analysis, less Tricalysia), BP 100/BPP 1.0; a group of African Psilanthus spp. (P. mannii, P. sapinii, P. melanocarpus, P. semsei, P. sp. 'A' (FTEA), P. ebracteolatus (two samples), BP 82/BPP 1.0; Psilanthus subgenus Psilanthus (P. mannii and P. sapinii), BP 98/BPP 1.0; Asian and Australian Psilanthus species (P. bridsonieae, P. travancorensis, P. merguensis, P. brassii), BP 100/BPP 1.0; the LG/C clade (C. charrieriana, C. canephora, C. heterocalyx, C. congensis, C. brevipes, C. mayombensis, C. kapakata, C. liberica (var. liberica and var. dewevrei), C. montekupensis, C. bakossi, C. mapiana, BP 61/BPP 1.0 (without C. charrieriana, BP 76; BPP 1.0); within the LG/C clade, less C. charrieriana) there are two further well-supported clades: the canephora alliance (C. canephora, C. heterocalyx, C. congensis, C. brevipes, C. kapakata, C. mayombensis; BP 98/BPP 1.0) and a clade which we call the 'liberica alliance' [C. liberica (var. liberica and var. dewevrei), C. montekupensis, C. bakossi, C. mapiana, BP 67/BPP 1.0]; the UG clade (C. stenophylla, C. humilis, C. togoensis), BP 100/BPP 1.0; the A/IO clade, BP <50; BPP 1.0; the EC-Afr clade (C. eugenioides, C. kivuensis, C. anthonyi), BP 100/BPP 1.0; a group of species from the Udzungwa Mountains in Tanzania (C. mufindiensis subsp. mufindiensis, C. lulandoensis, C. kihansiensis), BP 100/BPP 1.0; the EC-Afr clade and the UG clade are sister to two groups of lowland East African (EA) species: (1) C. pseudozanguebariae, C. bridsoniae, C. schliebenii, C. salvatrix, BP 79/BPP 0.72 and (2) C. sessiliflora, C. costatifructa, C. pocsii, C. racemosa, BP 97/BPP 1.0; the UG + EC-Afr + EA clade has support value of BP 64/BPP = 1.0; a group of three species from the Eastern Arc Mountains, referred to by Maurin et al. (2007), as the 'mongensis alliance' (C. fadenii Bridson, C. zanguebariae Bridson, C. mongensis Bridson), BP 86/BPP 1.0; the MAS clade C. campaniensis, (C. mauritiana, C. myrtifolia), BP 100/BPP 1.0; the IO clade, BP 63/BPP 0.99; and the Baracoffea alliance (C. labatii, C. humbertii, C. grevei, C. ambongensis, C. boinensis, C. pterocarpa, C. namorokensis), BP 99/BPP 1.0.

In the strict consensus tree there is no resolution for the positions of the *Psilanthus* clades, although *C. rhamnifolia* (Somalia) is consistently retrieved as sister to the Asian and Australian *Psilanthus* clade (Figs 1, 3). *Psilanthus melanocarpus* is placed within



**Figure 2.** Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) Bayesian majority rule consensus tree, based on 1500 trees. Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values > 50% are indicated above branches (BPP/BP); Asterisks denote Bayesian posterior probabilities < 0.95 and bootstrap values < 50%. See Table 1 for species authorities and provenance. Region and country abbreviations: Aus, Australia; CAF, Central African Republic; CMN, Cameroon; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; E-Afr, East Africa; EC-Afr, East–Central Africa; Ind, India; Mad, Madagascar; Mas, Mascarenes; NE-Afr, North-eastern Africa; QLD, Queensland (Australia); SOM, Somalia; Tha, Thailand; W/C-Afr, West and Central Africa (Guineo-Congolian Regional Centre of Endemism; White, 1983). Other abbreviation: FTEA (*Flora of Tropical East Africa*; Bridson, 1988a).

the African *Psilanthus* clade, within a clade (BP < 50/ BPP 0.99) containing two *Psilanthus* spp. from the Udzungwa Mountains in Tanzania [*P. semsei*, *P.* sp. 'A' (FTEA)].

4

#### DISCUSSION

## EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE INCLUSION OF PSILANTHUS SPECIES WITHIN COFFEA


To date, the most comprehensive molecular study into the relationships between Coffea and Psilanthus has been undertaken by Maurin et al. (2007). They examined 84 species of Coffea and seven species of Psilanthus (including P. sp. 'A' (FTEA). In the analyses presented here, we enlarge the sampling of Psilanthus with the addition of four newly sequenced (Australia), species [P. brassii P. melanocarpus (Angola), P. lebrunianus (West and Central Africa) and *P. merguensis* (Thailand)], in combination with a broad representation of *Coffea* spp. from the study of Maurin et al. (2007), and an additional species of Coffea (C. charrieriana). These additional species of *Psilanthus* provide a more comprehensive taxonomic and geographical sampling of the genus and include the problematic *P. melanocarpus*, a species that has been difficult to place based on morphological grounds (Leroy, 1980a, b; Andreasen & Bremer, 1996; Davis et al., 2005, 2007; Maurin et al., 2007).

Our results confirm previous studies (Lashermes et al., 1997; Cros et al., 1998; Davis et al., 2007; Maurin et al., 2007) showing that the level of sequence divergence between Coffea and Psilanthus spp. is negligible, particularly given the much longer branch lengths separating other genera of tribe Coffeeae (Davis et al., 2007; Tosh et al., 2009). There are also indications that *Psilanthus* is biphyletic, as inferred by the ITS data (Fig. 2). The combined plastid data shows that the morphologically incongruous *P. melanocarpus* falls within the ingroup, as part of a clade of Afican Psilanthus spp. (Fig. 1). In contrast to other species of Psilanthus, P. melanocarpus has short filaments and sub-medifixed anthers, as in Coffea, but an included style, as in Psilanthus. Now that we have demonstrated that *P. melanocarpus* falls within a group of African Psilanthus, only one character separates Coffea from Psilanthus: a long, emergent vs. a short, included style. As a character for generic delimitation, this is insubstantial, particularly as Coffea and Psilanthus are morphologically similar and share several synapomorphies (Davis et al., 2005, 2007). According to Davis et al. (2007), *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* are supported by the apparent loss of secondary pollen presentation, the presence of a hard (horny/crustaceous) endocarp (pyrene), seeds (and endocarp) with a deep ventral groove and a seed coat consisting of crushed endotestal cells and more or less isolated fibres. The hard crustaceous endocarp of the pyrene and the ventral excavation of pyrene and seed, in combination with shape and size, give the typical 'coffee bean' morphology of Coffea (including *Psilanthus* spp.). This synapomorphy is unambiguously unique in Coffeeae (Davis et al., 2007) and in Rubiaceae.

Given the above results, in combination with cytogenetic similarity (Lombello & Pinto-Maglio, 2003, 2004) and the ability to produce fertile intergeneric hybrids (Couturon et al., 1998), Coffea and Psilanthus should be treated as a single generic entity. The earliest published name is Coffea (Linnaeus, 1753), which predates Psilanthus (1873a) and has priority according to the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN; McNeill et al., 2006). This decision is hardly controversial given the systematic evidence presented here, the convoluted taxonomic and systematic history of Psilanthus in relation to Coffea (see Introduction) and the fact that many workers already consider that coffee trees should belong to a single genus (e.g. Lashermes et al., 1997; Cros et al., 1998; Maurin et al., 2007). For many coffee researchers, this would make perfect sense, given that Psilanthus spp. have been used by local people and growers to make the beverage coffee (Cheney, 1925; Wellman, 1961; Burkill, 1997).

# A NEW CIRCUMSCRIPTION FOR *COFFEA*: MORE SPECIES, AN INCREASE IN GEOGRAPHICAL AND ECOLOGICAL RANGE AND A BROADER MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

In line with the title of this contribution, the transfer of *Psilanthus* spp. to *Coffea* increases the number of species in that genus from 104 (Davis *et al.*, 2006;



**Figure 3.** Combined plastid-internal transcribed spacer (ITS) Bayesian majority rule consensus tree, based on 1500 trees. Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values > 50% are indicated above branches (BPP/BP); Asterisks denote Bayesian posterior probabilities < 0.95 and bootstrap values < 50%. See Table 1 for species authorities and provenance. Clades: EA Clade, East African Clade; EC-Afr clade, East-Central African Clade; LG/C Clade, Lower Guinea/Congolian Clade; UG Clade, Upper Guinea Clade; A/IO Clade, Africa/Indian Ocean Clade; IO Clade, Indian Ocean Clade. MAS Clade, Mascarene Clade. Region and country abbreviations: Aus, Australia; CAF, Central African Republic; CMN, Cameroon; DRC, Democratic Republic of Congo; E-Afr, East Africa; EC-Afr, East-Central Africa; Ind, India; Mad, Madagascar; Mas, Mascarenes; NE-Afr, North-eastern Africa; QLD, Queensland (Australia); SOM, Somalia; Tha, Thailand; W/C-Afr, West and Central Africa (Guineo-Congolian Regional Centre of Endemism; White, 1983). Other abbreviation: FTEA (*Flora of Tropical East Africa*; Bridson, 1988a).

Davis, Rakotonasolo & De Block, 2010) to 124 species. The new combinations and new names necessary for the transfer of *Psilanthus* to *Coffea* have been made in anticipation of this contribution (Davis, 2010, 2011). Work in progress (A. Davis, pers. observ.) shows that, with the addition of new species from Africa and Madagascar, the number of *Coffea* spp. will soon reach 130.


The placement of *Psilanthus* spp. in *Coffea* considerably increases the geographical range of Coffea. Before the inclusion of Psilanthus, Coffea was restricted to tropical Africa, Madagascar, the Comoros and the Mascarenes. It now also occurs in southern Asia (Indian subcontinent), south tropical Asia (Cambodia, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam), south-eastern Asia (Java, Lesser Sunda Islands, Philippines, Papua New Guinea) and Australasia (Australia: Queensland). The ecological range of the genus is also extended, to include habitats previously not recorded for Coffea, including subtropical vegetation of higher latitudes (northern India, Nepal and Bhutan) and semi-deciduous microphyll vine forest (northern Queensland, Australia; Forster, 2004). A simplified distribution map for Coffea is presented in Figure 4.

The current morphological concept of Coffea (Bridson, 1988a, b, 2003; Stoffelen, 1998; Davis et al., 2005) also requires updating to include characters formerly restricted to *Psilanthus*: calyx lobes accrescent; anthers sessile or filaments short (0.5 mm long); anthers supramedifixed; anthers mostly or fully included; anthers with sterile appendages; style short (stigma lobes distinctly below anthers, often positioned at the base of the corolla tube); pollen apertures four or five. A new generic characterization of Coffea, plus an annotated enumeration of species formerly included in Psilanthus, following the format of Davis et al. (2006), is in preparation (A. Davis, P. Stoffelen & S. Dawson, unpubl. data). An overview of the currently accepted names of species formerly placed in Psilanthus, with their geographical distribution, is given in Appendix 1.

Our analyses show that the LG/C clade contains a group worthy of informal recognition, as the 'liberica alliance', which, like the 'canephora alliance', contains an assemblage of species that are closely related to a crop species (crop wild relatives: CWR), in this case *C. liberica* (see Fig. 3). In his treatment of *Coffea* spp. from the Congo, Lebrun (1941) recognized 'series Libericae', comprising a single species (*C. liberica*). Lebrun used morphological characteristics to distinguish 'series Libericae' from other groups of *Coffea* from the Lower Guninea/Congolian area. It would be worthwhile re-examining these morphological characters in order to assess their systematic and diagnostic value. Given the apparent complicated evolutionary history of *Coffea* (including *Psilanthus*) and the lack of support for major groupings or previous classifications within the genus, it is not possible to propose a formal infrageneric classification at this time.

# IMPLICATIONS FOR UNDERSTANDING THE EVOLUTIONARY HISTORY OF COFFEA

The inclusion of Psilanthus spp. in Coffea complicates our understanding of the evolutionary history of Coffea. Simplified hypotheses describing the evolutionary 'dispersal' of Coffea across and out of Africa (e.g. Leroy, 1982; Anthony et al., 2010, fig. 4) will now have to be reassessed. Firstly, our data show that the origin and diversification of Coffea, even within Africa, is more complicated than originally assumed (Maurin et al., 2007) and that there have been at least two separate dispersals out of Africa. The nested position of the Tanzanian Psilanthus spp., P. semsei and P. sp. 'A' (FTEA), within a West and Central African (Guineo-Congolian) clade and the incongruous position of the UG clade (i.e. in the plastid vs. ITS analyses) suggests interplay between West and Central Africa and East Africa on either side of the Albertine African Rift Valley. This can be explained either by dispersals across Africa or by some species having previously more extensive ranges (which was followed by fragmentation and reduction), or a mixture of both. Secondly, the position of the Asian Psilanthus clade (P. bridsoniae, P. travancorensis, P. merguensis, P. brassii) in our analyses suggests an origin in Africa (Figs 1-3), with a consistently retrieved but poorly supported sister relationship with C. rhamnifolia (Figs 2, 3). Coffea rhamnifolia is from dry, low altitude shrub lands in Somalia and north-east Kenya; Asian/Australasian Psilanthus spp. are from seasonal humid tropical or seasonal subtropical vegetation types, including deciduous forest types, in India, southern tropical Asia, south-east Asia, Papua New Guinea and Australia. This suggests an African dry biome as an ancestral refugia for *Coffea*, similar to those demonstrated by Schrire *et al*. (2009) in studies of the tribe Indigofereae Benth. (Fabaceae). In a recent study of Livistona R.Br. (Arecaceae), Crisp et al. (2010) show that L. carinensis (Chiov.) J.Dransf. & N.W.Uhl, from dry habitats in Somalia, Yemen and Djibouti, is sister to lineages in Asia and Australasia, mostly containing species from humid, tropical biomes, which is similar to the relationship retrieved for C. rhamnifolia and Asian/ Australasian Psilanthus. White & Léonard (1991) clearly demonstrated strong phytochorial links between north-western Africa, Arabia and western India, which may have assisted the dispersal of Coffea from Africa to south-west Asia, although oceanic



© 2011 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2011, 167, 357–377

dispersal from Africa to India is an equally plausible hypothesis with the present data at hand.

It is now clear that future phylogenetic study of *Coffea*, including investigation of early lineage origins and diversification, refugia theories and genus-wide phylogenetics, will have to sample species formerly included in *Psilanthus*. More inclusive studies are likely to alter pre-existing theories of relationships of *Coffea* spp. (e.g. Maurin *et al.*, 2007), especially for Africa species. The challenge will now be to gather these extra samples and locate molecular markers (plastid and nuclear) that will provide further informative characters.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the following organizations and individuals who provided plant material for this study, including: the National Centre for Applied Research on Rural Development (FOFIFA), IRD-Montpellier, P. De Block (BR), Q. Luke (EA), F. Mbago (DSM), F. Rakotonasolo (TAN), B. Sonké (Université de Yaoundé II) and P. Stoffelen (BR). Permission to sample and study herbarium and/or living collections from BR, BRI, DSM, K and NSW is gratefully acknowledged [herbarium abbreviations after Holmgren, Holmgren & Barnett, (1990)]. We thank the DNA bank managers of the Jodrell Laboratory. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (L. Csiba, and E. Kapinos) for DNA extractions. We also greatly appreciate the evaluation of an earlier version of this contribution by two anonymous reviewers, and especially P. Stoffelen (BR) for sharing his expertise of African Coffea.

#### REFERENCES

- Andreasen K, Bremer B. 1996. Phylogeny of the subfamily Ixoroideae (Rubiaceae). Opera Botanica Belgica 7: 119–138.
- Andreasen K, Bremer B. 2000. Combined phylogenetic analysis in the Rubiaceae–Ixoroideae: morphology, nuclear and chloroplast DNA data. *American Journal of Botany* 87: 1731–1748.
- Anthony F, Diniz LEC, Combes M-C, Lashermes P. 2010. Adaptive radiation in *Coffea* subgenus *Coffea* L. (Rubiaceae) in Africa and Madagascar. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 285: 51–64.
- **Bremer K. 1988.** The limits of amino-acid sequence data in angiosperm phylogenetic reconstruction. *Evolution* **42:** 795–803.
- Bremer K. 1994. Branch support and tree stability. Cladistics: The International Journal of the Willi Hennig Society 10: 295–304.
- Brenan JPM. 1953. Tropical African plants: XXIII. Kew Bulletin 8: 83–120.

- Bridson DM. 1982. Studies in *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* for part 2 of 'Flora of Tropical East Africa': Rubiaceae. *Kew Bulletin* 36: 817–860.
- Bridson DM. 1987. Nomenclatural notes on *Psilanthus*, including *Coffea* sect. *Paracoffea* (Rubiaceae tribe Coffeeae). *Kew Bulletin* **42:** 453–460.
- Bridson DM. 1988a. Coffea. In: Polhill RM, ed. Flora of tropical East Africa, Rubiaceae, part 2. Rotterdam: Balkema, 415–474.
- Bridson DM. 1988b. Classification. In: Wrigley G, ed. Coffee – tropical agriculture series. Harlow: Longman Scientific & Technical, 61–75.
- Bridson DM. 2003. Coffea. In: Pope GV, ed. Flora zambesiaca, Vol. 3. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 452–463.
- **Burkill HM. 1997.** The useful plants of West Tropical Africa, 2nd Ed, Vol. 4. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens.
- **Cheney RH. 1925.** Coffee. A monograph of the economic species of the genus Coffea L. New York: New York University Press.
- Chevalier A. 1929. Les caféiers du globe, fasc. 1: généralités sur les caféiers. *Encyclopédie Biologique* 5: 1–196.
- Chevalier A. 1938. Essai d'un groupement systématique des caféiers sauvages de Madagascar et des Iles Mascareignes. *Revue de Botanique Appliquée et d'Agriculture Tropicale* 18: 825–843.
- Chevalier A. 1942. Les caféiers du globe, fasc. 2: iconograhie des caféiers sauvages et cultivés. *Encyclopédie Biologique* 12: 1–36, pl. 1–158.
- Chevalier A. 1947. Les caféiers du globe, fasc. 3: systématique des caféiers et faux-caféiers maladies et insectes nusibles. *Encyclopédie Biologique* 28: 1–352.
- Chinnappa CC, Warner BG. 1981. Pollen morphology in the genus *Coffea* (Rubiaceae) and its taxonomic significance. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 83: 221–236.
- Couturon E, Lashermes P, Charrier A. 1998. First intergeneric hybrids (*Psilanthus ebracteolatus* Hiern×Coffea arabica L.) in coffee trees. Canadian Journal of Botany 76: 542–546.
- Crisp MD, Isagi Y, Kato Y, Cook LG, Bowman DMJS. 2010. Livistona palms in Australia: ancient relics or opportunistic immigrants? Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 54: 512–523.
- Cros J, Combes MC, Trouslot P, Anthony F, Hamon S, Charrier A, Lashermes P. 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of chloroplast DNA variation in *Coffea L. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 9: 109–117.
- **Davis AP. 2003.** A new combination in *Psilanthus* (Rubiaceae) for Australia, and nomenclatural notes on *Paracoffea*. *Novon* **13:** 182–184.
- **Davis AP. 2010.** Six species of *Psilanthus* transferred to *Coffea* (Coffeeae, Rubiaceae). *Phytotaxa* **10**: 41–45.
- **Davis AP. 2011.** *Psilanthus mannii* Hook.f., the type species of *Psilanthus* Hook.f., transferred to *Coffea* L. *Nordic Journal of Botany* **29:** 471–472.
- **Davis AP, Bridson DM, Rakotonasolo F. 2005.** A re-examination of *Coffea* subgenus *Baracoffea* and comments on the morphology and classification of *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* (Rubiaceae–Coffeeae). In: Keating RC, Hollowell

VC, Croat T, eds. A festschrift for William G. D'Arcy: the legacy of a taxonomist. (Monographs in systematic botany 104). St Louis, MO: Missouri Botanical Garden Press, 399–420.

- Davis AP, Chester M, Maurin O, Fay M. 2007. Searching for the relatives of Coffea (Rubiaceae, Ixoroideae): the circumscription and phylogeny of Coffeeae based on plastid sequence data and morphology. American Journal of Botany 94: 313–329.
- Davis AP, Govaerts R, Bridson DM, Stoffelen P. 2006. An annotated taxonomic conspectus of the genus Coffea (Rubiaceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 152: 465–512.
- **Davis AP, Rakotonasolo F. 2008.** A taxonomic revision of the *baracoffea* alliance: nine remarkable *Coffea* species from western Madagascar. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **158:** 355–390.
- Davis AP, Rakotonasolo F, De Block P. 2010. Coffea toshii sp. nov. (Rubiaceae) from Madagascar. Nordic Journal of Botany 28: 1341–1336.
- Davis AP, Sonké B. 2008. A new Argocoffeopsis (Coffeeae, Rubiaceae) from Southern Cameroon: Argocoffeopsis spathulata. Blumea 53: 527–532.
- **De Wildeman EAJ. 1910.** Compagnie du Kasaï. Mission permanente d'études scientifiques. Résultats de ses recherches botaniques et agronomiques. Brussels: A. Lesigne.
- **Deb DB. 2002.** The genus *Psilanthus* Hook.f. tribe Coffeeae (Rubiaceae) in India a review. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany* **26:** 239–242.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32: 1792–1797.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783–791.
- Fitch WM. 1971. Toward defining the course of evolution: minimum change for a specific tree topology. *Systematic Zoology* 20: 406–416.
- Forster PI. 2004. The tribe Coffeeae DC. (Rubiaceae: Ixoroideae) in Australia. Austrobaileya 6: 903–909.
- Govaerts R, Ruhsam M, Andersson L, Robbrecht E, Bridson D, Davis AP, Schanzer I, Sonké B. 2011. World checklist of Rubiaceae. Kew: Board of Trustees of the Royal Botanic Gardens. Available at: http://www.kew.org/wcsp/ rubiaceae [accessed 1 June 2011].
- Hiern WP. 1877. Psilanthus. In: Oliver D, ed. Flora of tropical Africa, Vol. 3. Ashford: L. Reeve & Co., 185–187.
- Holmgren PK, Holmgren NH, Barnett LC. 1990. Index herbariorum. Part 1: the herbaria of the world, 8th edn. *Regnum Vegetabile*. New York, NY: New York Botanical Garden, 1-693.
- Hooker JD. 1873a. Psilanthus mannii, Hook.f. Hooker's Icones Plantarum, Vol. 2, 3rd series. London: Williams & Norgate, t. 1129.
- Hooker JD. 1873b. 239. Psilanthus. Genera plantarum, Vol.2, Part 1. London: Lovell Reeve & Co., 115.
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MRBAYES. Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 17: 754–755.

- Källersjö M, Farris JS, Kluge AG, Bult C. 1992. Skewness and permutation. Cladistics: The International Journal of the Willi Hennig Society 8: 275–287.
- Kumar SA, Sudisha J, Sreenath HL. 2008. Genetic relation of *Coffea* and Indian *Psilanthus* species as revealed through RAPD and ISSR markers. *International Journal of Integrative Biology* 3: 150–158.
- Lashermes P, Combes M-C, Robert J, Trouslot P, D'hont A, Anthony F, Charrier A. 1999. Molecular characterisation and origin of the *Coffea arabica* L. genome. *Molecular* and General Genetics 261: 259–266.
- Lashermes P, Combes M-C, Trouslot P, Charrier A. 1997. Phylogenetic relationships of coffee-tree species (*Coffea* L.) as inferred from ITS sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 94: 947–955.
- Lebrun J. 1941. Recherches morphologiques et systématiques sur les caféiers du Congo. Publications de L'institut National pour L'étude Agronomique du Congo Belge 11: 1–183.
- Leroy J-F. 1961. Notes sur quelques caféiers. Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 8: 537-541.
- Leroy J-F. 1962a. Sur l'existence d'un caféier sauvage aux Iles Philippines: Coffea mabesae (Elmer) J.F.Ler. (subg. Paracoffea). Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 9: 417–419.
- Leroy J-F. 1962b. A propos du Coffea lebruniana; sa presence au Gabon. Note sur l'Argocoffea rupestris (Hiern) Lebrun. Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 9: 420–422.
- Leroy J-F. 1967a. Diagnose différentielle du genre Paracoffea Leroy. Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 14: 276.
- Leroy J-F. 1967b. Recherches sur les caféiers. Sur le classification biologique des caféiers et sur l'origine et l'aire du genre Coffea. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences Série D, Sciences Naturelles 265: 1043–1045.
- Leroy J-F. 1967c. Recherches sur les caféiers. Esquisse d'une théorie sur l'évolution des espèces. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences Série D, Sciences Naturelles 265: 1373–1376.
- Leroy J-F. 1968a. [dated 1967]. Un caféier du genre Paracoffea en Nouvelle-Guinée. Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 14: 598–600.
- Leroy J-F. 1968b. [dated 1967]. La loi de correlation de croissance chez les cafeiers: cas d'une espèce d'Indonesie (*Paracoffea floresiana*). Journal d'Agriculture Tropicale et de Botanique Appliquée 14: 601–608.
- Leroy J-F. 1972a. [dated 1971]. Note sur un caféier du sud-est Asiatique: Paracoffea merguensis (Ridl.) J.-F. Leroy. Adansonia ser. 2 11: 604–614.
- Leroy J-F. 1972b. Le concept d'une unité de développement et la taxonomie du genre Paracoffea J.-F. Leroy. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences Série D, Sciences Naturelles 274: 2763–2766.
- Leroy J-F. 1980a. Les grandes lignées de caféiers. Association Scientifique Internationale du Café (ASIC) 9th Colloque, 473–477.

- Leroy J-F. 1980b. Evolution et taxogenèse chez les caféiers (Coffea L., Psilanthus Hook.f. et Nostolachma Durand). Hypothèse sur leur origine. Compte Rendu Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences Série D, Sciences Naturelles 291: 593–596.
- Leroy J-F. 1981. Les caféiers du genre Psilanthus (Rubiacées) en Afrique orientale et en Asie et îles du Pacifique. Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle. 4e Serie Section B, Adansonia Botanique Phytochimie 3: 251–258.
- Leroy J-F. 1982. L'origine kenyane du genre Coffea L. et la radiation des espèces à Madagascar. Association Scientifique Internationale du Café (ASIC) 10th Colloque, 413-420.
- Linnaeus C. 1753. Species plantarum, Vol. 1. Stockholm: Laurentius Salvius.
- Lobreau-Callen D, Leroy J-F. 1980. Quelques données palynologiques sur le genre Coffea et autres genres du cercle des caféiers. Association Scientifique Internationale du Café (ASIC) 9th Colloque, 479–506.
- Lombello RA, Pinto-Maglio CAF. 2003. Cytogenetic studies in *Psilanthus ebracteolatus* Hiern., a wild diploid coffee species. *Cytologia* **68**: 425–429.
- Lombello RA, Pinto-Maglio CAF. 2004. Cytogenetic studies in *Coffea* L. and *Psilanthus* Hook.f. using CMA/DAPI and FISH. *Cytologia* 69: 85–91.
- Maddison DR, Maddison WP. 2002. Macclade 4: analysis of phylogeny and character evolution version 4.01. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates.
- Maurin O, Davis AP, Chester M, Mvungi EF, Jaufeerally-Fakim Y, Fay MF. 2007. Towards a phylogeny for *Coffea* (Rubiaceae): identifying well-supported lineages based on nuclear and plastid DNA sequences. *Annals* of Botany 100: 1565–1583.
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Burdet HM, Demoulin V, Hawksworth DL, Marhold K, Nicolson DH, Prado J, Silva PC, Skog JE, Wiersema JH, Turland NJ. 2006. International code of botanical nomenclature (Vienna code). *Regnum Vegetabile* 146: 1–568.
- Moens P. 1962. La place systematique du *Coffea lebruniana* Germain et Kesler. *Bulletin du Jardin botanique de l'État a Bruxelles* 32: 129–131.
- **Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998.** Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **14**: 817–818.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. 2007. *Tracer ver. 1.4*. Available at: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer
- **Robbrecht E. 1986.** Studies in tropical African Rubiaceae (7–12). *Bulletin du Jardin Botanique National de Belgique* **56:** 145–162.
- Robbrecht E, Puff C. 1986. A survey of the Gardenieae and related tribes (Rubiaceae). *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik* 108: 63–137.
- Schrire BD, Lavin M, Barker NP, Forest F. 2009. Phylogeny of the tribe Indigofereae (Leguminosae– Papilionoideae): geographically structured more in succulent-rich and temperate settings than in grass-rich environments. *American Journal of Botany* **96**: 816–852.
- Sivarajan VV, Biju SD, Mathew P. 1992. Revision of the genus *Psilanthus* Hook.f. (Rubiaceae, tribe Coffeeae) in

India. Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica 33: 209–224.

- **Stoffelen P. 1998.** *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* in Tropical Africa: a systematic and palynological study, including a revision of the West and Central African Species. PhD Thesis. Katholieke Universiteit Leuven.
- Stoffelen P, Noirot M, Couturon E, Anthony F. 2008. A new caffeine-free coffee from Cameroon. *Botanical Journal* of the Linnean Society 158: 67–72.
- Stoffelen P, Robbrecht E, Smets E. 1997. Pollen morphology of *Coffea* and *Psilanthus* (Rubiaceae), mainly from Africa. *Grana* 36: 313–327.
- Tosh J, Davis AP, Dessein S, De Block P, Huysmans S, Fay MF, Smets E, Robbrecht E. 2009. Phylogeny of *Tricalysia* (Rubiaceae) and its relationships with allied genera based on plastid DNA data: resurrection of the genus *Empogona. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 96: 194–213.
- Wellman FL. 1961. Coffee, botany, cultivation and utilization. London: Leonard Hill Books.
- White F. 1979. The Guineo-Congolian Region and its relationships to other phytochoria. Bulletin du Jardin Botanique National de Belgique 49: 11–55.
- White F. 1983. The vegetation of Africa. A descriptive memoir to accompany the Unesco/AETFAT/UNSO vegetation map of Africa. Paris: Unesco.
- White F, Léonard J. 1991. Phytogeographical links between Africa and southwest Asia. *Flora Vegetatio Mundi* 9: 229– 246.

# **APPENDIX 1**

Generic synonymy for Coffea

COFFEA L., SP. PL. 172 (1753). TYPE: COFFEA ARABICA L.

- Cafe Adans., Fam. Pl. 2: 500 (1763).
- Cafea Adans., Fam. Pl. 2: 145 (1763).
- Hexepta Raf., Sylva Tellur. 164 (1838).
- Leiochilus Hook.f. in G.Bentham & J.D.Hooker, Gen. Pl. 2: 116 (1873).
- *Psilanthus* Hook.f., *Hooker's Icon. Pl.* **12:** t. 1129 (1873), syn. nov.

Pleurocoffea Baill., Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Paris 1: 270 (1880).

Solenixora Baill., Bull. Mens. Soc. Linn. Paris 1: 242 (1880).

- Buseria T.Durand, Index Gen. Phan. 501 (1888).
- Paolia Chiov., Result. Sci. Miss Stefan.-Paoli Somal. Ital. 1: 93 (1916).

Psilanthopsis A.Chev., Rev. Bot. Appl. Agric. Trop. 19: 403 (1939).

Nescidia A.Rich. ex DC., Prodr. 4: 477 (Sept. 1830).

Cofeanthus A.Chev., Cafeiers du Globe 3: 226 (1947).\* Paracoffea J.-F.Leroy, J. Agric. Trop. Bot. Appl. 14: 276 (1967 publ. 1968).\*

\*Formerly synonyms of *Psilanthus*.

Number of species: 124.

Distrubtion: Tropical Old World: Africa, West Indian Ocean Islands (Madagascar, Comoros, Mascarenes), South Asia, south-east Asia, Papua New Guniea and Australia. TDWG: 22; 23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 29; 40; 41; 42; 43; 50. Figure 4.

**Species of Coffea formerly included in Psilanthus** The accepted name of each species is given in bold, with the basionym or most recently replaced name in italics.

1. Coffea benghalensis B.Heyne ex Schult. in J.J.Roemer & J.A.Schultes, *Syst. Veg.* 5: 200 (1819). 1a. var. benghalensis

Psilanthus benghalensis (B.Heyne ex Schult.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 252 (1981).

**Distribution:** – India, Nepal and Bhutan.

**1b.** var. **bababudanii** (Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew) A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* **10:** 42 (2010).

Psilanthus bababudanii Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew, Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin., n.s., **33:** 212 (1992).

**Distribution:** – Western India.

**2. Coffea brassii** (J.-F.Leroy) A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* **10:** 42 (2010).

Psilanthus brassii (J.-F.Leroy) A.P.Davis, Novon 13: 183 (2003).

**Distribution:** – Southern Papua New Guinea and north-eastern Australia (including Torres Strait Islands).

**3.** Coffea cochinchinensis Pierre ex Pit. in H.Lecomte, *Fl. Indo-Chine* **3:** 337 (1924).

Psilanthus cochinchinensis (Pierre ex Pit.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 256 (1981 publ. 1982).

Distribution: - Cambodia and Vietnam.

4. Coffea ebracteolata (Hiern) Brenan, Kew Bull.8: 115 (1953).

Psilanthus ebracteolatus Hiern in D.Oliver & auct. suc. (eds.), Fl. Trop. Afr. 3: 186 (1877).

**Distribution:** – West Tropical Africa.

5. Coffea floresiana Boerl., Handl. Fl. Ned. Ind. 11: 136 (1891).

Psilanthus floresianus (Boerl.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 256 (1981 publ. 1982).

**Distribution:** – Lesser Sunda Islands.

6. Coffea fragrans Wall. ex Hook.f., *Fl. Brit. India*3: 154 (1880).

Psilanthus fragrans (Wall. ex Hook.f.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 256 (1981 publ. 1982).

**Distribution:** – Bangladesh; reported to be in cultivation in India (Sivarajan *et al.*, 1992).

**7. Coffea horsfieldiana** Miq., *Fl. Ned. Ind.* **2:** 308 (1857).

Psilanthus horsfieldianus (Miq.) J.-F.Leroy, Ass. Sci. Internat. Café Colloque **9:** 482 (1980). Distribution: - Java.

**8. Coffea malabarica** (Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew) A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* **10:** 42 (2010).

Psilanthus malabaricus Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin., n.s., 33: 219 (1992).

**Distribution:** – Western India.

**9. Coffea lebruniana** Germ. & Kesler, *Bull. Jard. Bot. État* **25:** 405 (1955).

Psilanthus lebrunianus (Germ. & Kesler) J.-F.Leroy ex Bridson, Kew Bull. 42: 456 (1987).

Distribution: - West and Central Tropical Africa.

10. Coffea madurensis Teijsm. & Binn. ex Koord., Teysmannia 11: 30 (1900).

Psilanthus madurensis (Teijsm. & Binn. ex Koord.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 256 (1981 publ. 1982).

Distribution: – Java (Madura Islands).

11. Coffea mabesae (Elmer) J.-F.Leroy, J. Agric. Trop. Bot. Appl. 9: 419 (1962).

Psilanthus mabesae (Elmer) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 256 (1981 publ. 1982).

**Distribution:** – Philippines.

12. Coffea mannii (Hook.f.) A.P.Davis, Nord. J. Bot.29: 471 (2011).

Psilanthus mannii Hook.f., Hooker's Icon. Pl. 12: t. 1129 (1873).

Distribution: - West and Central Tropical Africa.

13. Coffea melanocarpa Welw. ex Hiern, Trans. Linn. Soc. London, Bot. 1: 173 (1876).

Psilanthus melanocarpus (Welw. ex Hiern) J.-F.Leroy, Ass. Sci. Internat. Café Colloque **9:** 482 (1980).

Distribution: - Cabinda and Angola.

**14.** Coffea merguensis Ridl., J. Fed. Malay States Mus. **10:** 96 (1920).

Psilanthus merguensis (Ridl.) J.-F.Leroy, Ass. Sci. Internat. Café Colloque **9:** 482 (1980).

**Distribution:** – Myanmar (Burma), Thailand and Vietnam.

**15.** Coffea neobridsoniae A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* **10**: 43 (2010).

Psilanthus bridsoniae Sivar., Biju & P.Mathew, Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin., n.s., 33: 216 (1992).

Distribution: - India.

**16. Coffea neoleroyi** A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* **10:** 43 (2010).

Psilanthus leroyi Bridson, Kew Bull. **36:** 857 (1982). **Distribution:** – Ethiopia and Uganda.

17. Coffea sapinii (De Wild) A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* 10: 43 (2010).

Psilanthus sapinii De Wild., Compagnie du Kasai: 425 (1910).

Distribution: - Democratic Republic of Congo.

18. Coffea semsei (Bridson) A.P.Davis, *Phytotaxa* 10: 43 (2010).

Psilanthus semsei Bridson, Kew Bull. 36: 854 (1982).

Distribution: - Tanzania.

**19. Coffea travancorensis** Wight & Arn., *Prodr. Fl. Ind. Orient.* 435 (1834).

Psilanthus travancorensis (Wight & Arn.) J.-F.Leroy, Ass. Sci. Internat. Café Colloque **9:** 482 (1980).

Distribution: – Southern India and Sri Lanka.

**20. Coffea wightiana** Wall. ex Wight & Arn., *Prodr. Fl. Ind. Orient.* 436 (1834).

Psilanthus wightianus (Wall. ex Wight & Arn.) J.-F.Leroy, Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia **3:** 252 (1981 publ. 1982).

Distribution: - Southern India and Sri Lanka.